

;;;BCC190409

>> GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY.

MY NAME IS WORST ON CITY

COUNCILOR JOSH ZAKIM.

I'M CHAIR OF THE COUNCIL ON CILL

RIGHTS.

THIS IS ON COM ET 0518 OF THE

ENFORCEMENT OF THE BOSTON TRUST

ACT.

THIS IS LIVE ON BOSTON CITY

COUNCIL TV, RECORDED AND WILL BE

BROADCASTED.

A REMINDER I ASK YOUR PHONES AND

OTHER DEVICES ARE ON SILENT.

WE WILL PROCEED.

I WILL BEGIN WITH A BRIEF

BACKGROUND AND THEN INVITE OUR

DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO MAKE A

STATEMENT SHE HAS AND GET INTO

WITH MY COLLEAGUES.

I'M JOINED BY COUNSELOR LYDIA

EDWARDS, COMMISSIONER TKPWROS

GROSS AND YOUR TEAM, THANK YOU FOR BEING

HERE.

THE PURPOSE OF THE HEARING IS TO

EXAMINE THE BOSTON TRUST ACT.

WE WROTE THIS IN COLLABORATION

WITH THE PBD, MAYOR WALSH IN TO

14.

IT WAS PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY

THE BOSTON CITY COUNCIL AND

SIGNED BY MAYOR WALSH AS A

EXPRESSION OF THIS CITY'S VALUES

AND EUROPEAN OF SEUFR RIGHTS.

REGARDLESS OF IMMIGRATION STATUS

PEOPLE SHOULD FEEL COMFORTABLE

COMING FORWARD AS VICTIMS OR

WITNESSES OF CRIME.

I'M PROUD OF THAT LEGISLATION.

I'M PROUD THE CITY WAS A LEADER

ON THAT PHI YEARS AGO.

PASSING ONE OF THE MOST

EXPANSION VERSIONS OF THE BILL

ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

TO 14 WAS A DIFFERENT TIME.

IT WAS BEFORE THE ELECTION OF

PRESIDENT TRUMP.

IT WAS BEFORE A WHOLE CHANGE IN

IMMIGRATION.

THIS WEEK IMMEDIATELY PAST

DIRECTOR OF CUSTOMS DIRECTOR WAS
OUSTED BY PRESIDENT TRUMP.

THE PRESIDENT WANTS TO GO IN A
"TOUGHER" DIRECTION FOR
IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THIS BODY,
THIS CITY IS DOING ALL WE CAN TO
COMPLY WITH THE SPIRIT OF THE
ORIGINAL TRUST ACT AND EXAMINE
WAYS TO MAKE IT STRONGER.
THINGS HAVE CHANGED OVER LAST
FIVE YEARS.

THERE WAS A RECENT INCIDENT WITH
MR. FLORES THAT WAS CONCERNING
TO ME SK-PL AND SOMEWHAT OF A
CATALYST TO THIS HEARING.

WE WOULD LIKE TO LOOK MORE NO
THAT WHAT IS BEING DONE.

THIS HEARING, CALLING AND
ADMINISTERING IT, MY GOAL IS
DETERMINING WHAT IS NECESSARY
FOR UPDATES HEG LATIVELY, THE
MAYOR'S OFFICE, OR THROUGH YOU
COMMISSIONER.

>> -- WITH AGGRESSIVE
ENFORCEMENT.

IMMIGRATION VIOLATIONS, MANY
CASES RACIALLY ENFORCED
ENGAGEMENT.

AS A BODY WE STAND UP FOR THIS.
MAYOR WALSH, I KNOW YOU
COMMISSIONER SHARE THE VALUES
AND FIGURING OUT HOW TO WORK
TOGETHER ON THAT.

I WILL HOLD OFF ON QUESTIONS.
MY COLLEAGUE HAS A BRIEF OPENING
STATEMENT AND THEN MOVE TO
DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR HIS
REMARKS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNSELOR ZAKIM
FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP.

BEFORE JOINING THIS BODY I
WORKED WITH MANY NON PROFIT
ORGANIZATIONS.

WE WERE VERY PROUD OF YOU
GETTING THAT DONE AND PASSING
THE TRUST ACT.

WE WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE LAW
ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS FOR BEING
HERE TODAY.

IT'S A CONVERSATION NECESSARY
AND IT MAKES OUR DEMOCRACY
STRONGER TALKING TO EACH OTHER
INSURING ALL PEOPLE IN WORST ON

FEEL SAFE AND TRUST LAW
ENFORCEMENT.

HOW THE RESOURCES ARE
FUNCTIONING.

HOW THEY COORDINATE WITH AND
FOLLOW THE ORDINANCES IN THE
SPIRIT OF THE TRUST ACT AND THE
PRACTICAL REAGENCY YOU DO FACE
IN MAKING SHOWER WE ARE SAFE.

I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING HOW
YOU ARE, HOW WE WILL RECTIFY THE
EXISTING TRUST ACT AND MAKING
SHOWER WE UNDERSTAND THAT WE
BOTH HAVE THE SAME GOALS.

BEFORE I END I WANT TO COMMEND
THE ADVOCATES IN THE AUDIENCE.

MANY JOBS AGO I STARTED AT
BRAZILIAN ADVOCACY CENTER.

ALSO THE WORKERS CENTER, LYDIA
FROM THE BRAZILIAN WOMENS GROUP.

THOSE GROUPS IS WHERE I CUT MY
TEETH IN ORGANIZING.

TO THOSE IMMIGRANT WOMEN AND MEN
I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNSELOR.

WE HAVE BEEN JOINED BY COUNSELOR
ED FLYNN.

THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER, THANK
YOU FOR BEING HERE.

I KNOW WE HAVE TALKED.

YOU HAVE GRACIOUSLY YIELDED THE
BUILDING TIME TO OUR DISTRICT
ATTORNEY.

MADAM DISTRICT ATTORNEY, IF YOU
WOULD LIKE TO APPROACH.

I KNOW YOU HAVE SOME --

>> YES.

>> THIS IS NOT WHERE YOU WANT TO
BE.

>> THIS IS, MAYBE I WILL WALK
OVER HERE.

[LAUGHING]

>> THIS IS BETTER.

I ALSO WANT TO MAKE SURE TO
ACKNOWLEDGE THE BROTHERS AND
SISTERS IN LAW ENFORCEMENT
ATTENDING AS WELL.

MY NAME IS RACHEL ROLLINS.

I'M THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF
SUFFOLK COUNTY.

I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL.

IN 2014 THIS CITY COUNCIL AND
MAYOR WALSH PROCLAIMED THAT
BOSTON'S LAW ENFORCEMENT

OFFICIALS SHOULD NOT DETAIN A PERSON ON A CIVIL IMMIGRATION DETAINER OR IMMIGRATION TKPWRAEUGS WARRANT.

THE BOSTON TRUST ACT ESTABLISHED ABSENT A CRIMINAL WARRANT THESE PROCESSES WERE INSUFFICIENT TO DEPRIVE A PERSON OF THEIR LIBERTY.

BOSTON ONCE AGAIN WAS AHEAD OF THEIR TIME.

IT WOULD BE THREE YEARS BEFORE THE STATES HIGHEST COURT FOUND THE SAME CONCLUSION.

RULING THAT MASSACHUSETTS LAW PROVIDES NO AUTHORITY TO DETAIN PEOPLE ON CIVIL MATTERS "LET ALONE AUTHORITY TO ARREST SPECIFICALLY FOR CIVIL IMMIGRATION MATTERS."

I DEFER TO THOSE WITH SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE OF THE EVENTS BEING DISCUSSION HERE TODAY.

I WANT TO EXPRESS IN THE STRONGEST POSSIBLE TERMS MY SUPPORT OF THE TRUST ACT.

OUR LEGAL SYSTEM REQUIRES THE PARTICIPATION OF ALL PERSONS, FEAR OF ARREST, DETENTION AND DEPORTATION FOR CIVIL IMMIGRATION MATTERS HAS A SIGNIFICANT CHILLING EFFECT ON OUR ABILITY TO DO JUSTICE IN THE COURTS OF SUFFOLK COUNTY.

TO THE EXTENT THE TRUST ACT MAY MITIGATE THE FEARS I FIND IT TO BE A NECESSARY AND INVALUABLE ORDINANCE.

THANK YOU, WE WILL SUBMIT THIS FOR THE RECORD.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

I APPRECIATE YOU TAKING THE TIME TO BE HERE.

YOUR PARTNERSHIP AS ONE OF OUR LEAD LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS FOR THE CITY THE BOSTON AND THE COMMITTEE.

COMMISSIONER, ANY OPENING STATEMENTS OR KPHEPBGTS?

>> WE DO.

>> THEN WE WILL GO TO QUESTIONS.

THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.

>> GOOD MORNING, COUNSELOR.
COUNSELOR, AGAIN THANK YOU FOR
ALLOWING US TO BE HERE TODAY.
THANK YOU EVERYONE WHO IS HERE
IN THE AUDIENCE TODAY.
THANK YOU.
OF COURSE THANK YOU TOO.
FORTY-SECOND BOSTON POLICE
COMMISSIONER, MY JOB IS TO SERVE
YOU.
I WILL GIVE YOU A LITTLE
PERSONAL BACKGROUND WHEN I CAME
TO THIS CITY AFTER HAILING FROM
HILLSBOROUGH, MARYLAND POSSIBLE
AOU HRAEUGS 164 I LOOKED
DIFFERENT, SOUNDED DIFFERENT,
PEOPLING LOOKED AT ME DIFFERENT.
IN NO WAY WOULD I DO ANYTHING TO
NOT HAVE EMPATHY, CARE AND
RESPECT FOR SOMEONE WHO WAS JUST
LIKE ME.
THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS
THE FIRST POLICE DEPARTMENT IN
THE NATION.
WE ARE THE LEADERS IN COMMUNITY
POLICING.
WE BELIEVE IN THE TRUST ACT.
DESPITE WHAT IS HAPPENING IN
WASHINGTON DC WE WILL NOT BE A
SCAPEGOAT.
IN BOSTON WE DO THINGS BIGGER
AND BETTER.
WE'RE HELD ACCOUNTABLE BY A
GREAT CITY KWROUPB AND A GREAT
MAYOR.
BY THE PEOPLE.
WHEN I SAY WE'RE NUMBER ONE IN
COMMUNITY POLICING.
WE ARE.
I WAS RAISED BY THE VERY
COMMUNITY THAT WELCOMED ME IN
WHEN I CAME FROM A POOR FARMING
COMMUNITY.
I AM PROUD TO BE HERE TODAY AS
YOUR 42nd COMMISSIONER IN
SHOWERING THAT WE, THE BOSTON
POLICE DEPARTMENT, PROTECT
EVERYBODY IN THAT NOT ONLY THIS
COMMONWEALTH BUT THIS CITY WITH.
THAT BEING SAID IF I MAY,
COUNSELOR, WE THE BOSTON POLICE
DEPARTMENT HONOR AND ABIDE BY
THE TRUST ACT.
THE BOSTON POLICE DO NOT ENFORCE

FEDERAL IMMIGRATION LAWS.
WE ENFORCE STATE LAWS.
BOSTON POLICE DO NOT CHECK
IMMIGRATION STATUS IN THE FACE
OF POSSIBLE CRIMINAL ACTIVITY.
WE DETERMINE IDENTITY.
BOSTON POLICE TRIED TO SOLVE
HOMICIDES AND WE DO.
STOP HUMAN TRAFFICKING AND DRUG
TRAFFICKING AND WE DO, PERIOD.
REGARDLESS OF WHO IS
RESPONSIBLE.
OUR PARTNERSHIPS WITH FEDERAL
AUTHORITIES ARE IMPORTANT TOOLS
AND CUTTING OURSELVES OFF FROM
PARTNERSHIPS AND RESOURCES WOULD
BE A MISTAKE.
WE ARE HERE TO PROTECT THE
COMMONWEALTH AND ANYBODY WHO IS
A VICTIM NO MATTER WHERE THEY
HAIL FROM.
IT'S THE DUTY OF THE BOSTON
POLICE DEPARTMENT TO PROTECT
EVERYONE IN THE CITY OF BOSTON.
WE DO SO REGARDLESS OF WHO THEY
ARE, WHERE THEY'RE FROM, WHAT
IMMIGRATION STATUS THEY MIGHT
HAVE.
WE DO OUR LEVELED BEST TO DO OUR
JOB SMARTLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND
WITH COMPASSION.
THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT
FOLLOWS THE TRUST ACT IN LETTER
AND IN SPIRIT.
IN KEEPING WITH THE POLICIES AND
VALUES SO OFTEN EX BRINGSSED BY
MAYOR WALSH.
IN CARRYING OUT OUR DUTIES WE
DON'T DIFFERENTIATE THE PEOPLE
WE SERVE BASED ON IMMIGRATION
STATUS.
TO ERASE THEIR INCOME, WHETHER
THEY'RE BOSTON RESIDENT OR
SOMEONE THAT IS SIMPLY WORKING
OR IS VISITING HERE.
THEY ARE TREATED THE SAME.
NO MATTER WHO YOU ARE OR WHERE
YOU'RE FROM YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO
BE SAFE.
WE DO ALL WE CAN TO KEEP
EVERYONE SAFE AND TREAT EVERYONE
WITH DIGNITY AND RESPECT.
TO SERVE VICTIMS ESPECIALLY WITH
SENSITIVITY AND COMPASSION.

THE BOSTON POLICE WORK HARD TO
BUILD COOPERATION AND SUPPORT IN
EVERY NEIGHBORHOOD.
WE ALSO TAKE SPECIAL CARE TO TRY
TO BUILD TRUST IN THOSE
COMMUNITIES WHERE PEOPLE HAVE
BEEN HISTORICALLY
DISENFRANCHISED, MARGINALIZED,
AND VICTIMIZED.
I'M FROM ONE OF THOSE
COMMUNITIES.
WE'RE TALKING EMPATHY, SYMPATHY,
CARE AND RESPECT.
I LIVED IT.
BOSTON POLICE DON'T ASK A VICTIM
OF CRIME THEIR CITIZENSHIP OR
IMMIGRATION STATUS MIGHT BE.
NOWHERE DO WE DO SO FOR
WITNESSES.
WE DO THIS BECAUSE NO MATTER WHO
YOU ARE OR WHERE YOU ARE FROM NO
ONE SHOULD LIVE IN FEAR.
NOBODY DESERVES TO BE
VICTIMIZED.
EVERYONE HAS A RIGHT TO BE SAFE.
WE WANT PEOPLE TO SEEK JUSTICE
THROUGH US AND THE COURTS.
THERE HAS BEEN A GREAT DEAL
WRITTEN ABOUT HOW OUR DEPARTMENT
DOES AND DOES NOT INTERACT WITH
FEDERAL IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS
ENFORCEMENT.
ALSO KNOWN AS ICE WITH.
A INDIVIDUAL IN A CASE OF A
INDIVIDUAL WRITTEN ABOUT.
THERE ARE SOME ASPECTS OF THE
MATTER WE CAN'T REALLY SPEAK TO.
NOT BECAUSE WE'RE NOT TRANS
BARENTS BUT BECAUSE WE MUST BE
OBEDIENT TO CERTAIN LAWS.
WE CAN NOT MENTION SOMEONE'S
ARREST OR NOT.
BECAUSE THEY ARE PROTECTED.
-- DESIGNED TO PROTECT THE
INDIVIDUAL.
IN CLOSING, IT IS IMPORTANT
HOWEVER THAT YOU UNDERSTAND HOW
WE DO OUR JOBS AND TO DISPEL
MISCONCEPTIONS THAT ARE UNFAIR
TO GOOD AND BRAVE POLICE
OFFICERS.
MANY WHO ARE IN THE AUDIENCE
TODAY.
THAT ALSO CAUSE UNDO FEAR IN

SOME OF THE COMMUNITIES WHERE WE
WORK SO HARD TO BUILD TRUST.
THIS WORK HAS MADE BOSTON AND
EVERYONE IN BOSTON SAVER.
INCLUDING OUR IMMIGRANT
COMMUNITIES.

IN FACT WE CAN NOT DO OUR JOBS
WITHOUT THE SUPPORT AND
COOPERATION, PARTNERSHIPS THAT
EXTEND DEEP NO EVERY COMMUNITY
OF BOSTON.

>> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.

>> GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE.

IF I MAY I WANT TO INTRODUCE
MYSELF TO THOSE IN THE AUDIENCE
AND THANK YOU FOR COMING.

MY NAME IS GREGORY GALLAGHER
SOMEWHERE IT'S MY HONOR TO SERVE
THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR
32 YEARS IN A NUMBER OF
DIFFERENT ASSIGNMENTS, ALL
CHALLENGING.

I GREW UP AND WENT TO SCHOOL IN
THE CITY OF BOSTON.

WENT THROUGH THE TERRIBLE YEARS
OF BUSING WHEN THE CITY WAS TORN
APART.

SITTING WITH THE FIRST
AFRICAN-AMERICAN POLICE
COMMISSIONER IS A HONOR.

TO THINK WHERE WE CAME IN A
SHORT TIME IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO
BE HERE.

BY WAY OF MY CURRENT ASSIGNMENT.
BACK UP, MY CAREER 32 YEARS I
HAVE WON VARIOUS MEDALS FOR
VALOR.

-- INCLUDING THE METAL OF HONOR
ON A FEW OCCASIONS.

I HAVE HAD VARIOUS ASSIGNMENTS
YOU THIS OUT MY CAREER.

I'M CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO THE
DRUG CONTROL UNIT, A FEDERAL
TASZ CAN FORCE, AND LIAISON FOR
FEDERAL TASKS AND A DEA WORN
MARSHAL.

A SPECIAL AGENT ON THEM WORKING,
PART OF MY DUTIES WITH THE DRUG
CONTROL UNIT IS TWO-FOLD TO THE
LIAISON WORK I DO.

I'M IN CHARGE OF A SQUAD OF
DETECTIVES PART OF THE --
LABORATORY TEAM.

WE ARE ACROSS NEW ENGLAND AND

BOSTON, TO MANUFACTURING OF
DRUGS AND MOST HAZARDOUS
CONDITIONS.

I'M THE COORDINATOR FOR THE DCU
RESPONSE TEAM THAT IS ON CALL
DURING THAT TIME FOR ANYTIME OF
UNKNOWN EXPOSURE THAT MAY OCCUR,
MOST OF THE TIME IT'S FENTANYL
AND STUFF LIKE.

THAT I'M HERE TO ANSWER
QUESTIONS ON THIS INCIDENT,
POLICY IN GENERAL, AND BEST
SERVE THE PEOPLE IN THE CITY OF OF
BOSTON.

>> THANK YOU.

SERGEANT.

I WILL JUMP INTO QUESTIONS
BEFORE TURNING TO MY COLONEL
AESZ.

I WILL TRY TO BE BRIEF.

I KNOW THERE IS A LOT TO COVER.

I APPRECIATE THE SENTIMENT.

I THINK PHILOSOPHIC HOE WE'RE
ALL IN AGREEMENT.

MAKING SURE FOLKS ARE CONFIDENT
ENGAGING WITH MEN AND WOMEN IN
THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT.

I'M INTERESTED IN LOOKING AT
WHAT INTER ACTIONS THE BOSTON
POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS WITH
IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS
ENFORCEMENT.

I KNOW THIS ARE SOME SYSTEMS,
RECORD SYSTEMS, FEDERALLY
ADMINISTERED.

I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN SPEAK TO
THAT.

THAT ARE SOMEWHAT MANDATORY.
WHAT COMMISSIONER TKPWROS USED
TO IDENTIFY FOLKS.

IS THIS THIS EX SYSTEM.

HOW ARE GENERAL IMMIGRATIONS
WITH ENFORCEMENT IF YOU CAN
SPEAK TO THAT.

>> DEDECORATIVE GAL GAR IS OUR
EXPERT.

HE IS HERE TODAY TO ADDRESS ANY
AND ALL QUESTIONS WE'RE ABLE TO.
IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN.

>> FIRST OF YOU WILL I'M
ASSIGNED TO A TASK FORCE WITH
HOME LAND SECURITY.

I'M FROM THE DRUG UNIT AS WELL
MANY.

>> IS THAT ARE THE BRICK?
EURPLGT NO, HOME LAND SECURITY
INVESTIGATIONS I'M ALSO THE
LIAISON FOR UNITS IN HSI AND ICE
AS WELL.
I HAVE STKUPB THIS FOR A LONG
TIME.
ORIGINALLY FOR A LITTLE
BACKGROUND THE 2006 SECURED
COMMUNITIES PROGRAM WAS
INITIATED.
PERIOD OF TIME ON WAS THE PILOT
CITY FOR THAT I HAVE BEEN
ASSOCIATED SIPS THAT TIME WITH
STEUPBGTS WITH THE DRUG UNIT AND
OTHER THINGS.
>> DAY TO DAY ACTIVITY ICE IS
MAKING ADMINISTRATIVE ARRESTS.
I BELIEVE THE LAST YEAR TWO
THOUSAND IN THE BOSTON AREA, NOT
THE CITY SPECIFIC.
>> I DON'T HAVE THAT
INFORMATION.
>> THAT FROM ICE DIRECTLY.
>> IT'S FROM THEIR PUBLIC
DOCUMENTS.
SOME QUESTIONS FOR YOU, DOES ICE
TO YOU DIRECTLY AS THE LIAISON
COMMUNICATE WHEN THEY IN STEPPED
TO DO OPERATIONS LIKE THAT.
DO BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT AND
HIGHWAY RESOURCES PAR ADVERTISE PARTICIPATE.
CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW THAT WORKS.
FIRST OF ALL WE HAVE A
OBLIGATION WITH THE PUBLIC AND
OFFICER SAFETY.
ANY FEDERAL AGENCY -- IT WOULD
BE A DANGEROUS SITUATION TO HAVE
LAW ENFORCE PHEPT AGENCIES COME
INTO OUR CITY AND BE REQUIRED TO
TALK TO US AND NOT DO THEIR
THING OR HAVE COMMUNICATION.
IT'S A DANGEROUS SITUATION.
ESPECIALLY WITH THE CLIMATE
AROUND THE COUNTRY AND OFFICER
SAFETY.
IT'S A MAJOR CONCERN.
WE TPHAPBD EVERY TIME WE WANT TO
KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON.
>> DECONFLICTS.
>> THE OTHER REASON WE WANT TO
DO THAT IS IF YOU HAVE A AGENCY
THAT COMES IN LOOKING FOR A
CERTAIN TARGET OR PEOPLE THEY

MAY CONFLICT WITH OUR ON GOING INVESTIGATIONS.

WITH COMMUNICATION WE CAN RECTIFY THAT BEFORE IT HAPPENS. WE HAVE COMMUNICATION ON A CONSTANT BASIS ON INCIDENTS INCLUDING OPERATIONS.

>> SO IF, JUST TO CLARIFY IF IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOM ENFORCEMENT INTENDED TO MAKE ANY ARRESTS IN THE CITY OF BOSTON THEY WILL TYPICALLY ALERT YOU OR SOMEONE ELSE IN BPD.

>> YES.

MOST PART I'M THE POINT OF CONTACT FOR.

THAT I HAVE BEEN DOING IT FOR A LONG TIME.

BOSTON POLICE OFFICERS AND CITY OFFICERS WE DON'T HAVE AUTHORITY TO ARREST ANYONE.

NOBODY HAS THAT.

A LOT OF THE PEOPLE IN CONTACT MY NOT KNOW WHAT THAT IS.

I'M THE PERSON OF CONTACT IF I'M AWARE I LET THEM KNOW WHAT WE'RE DOING.

>> WILL THEY PARTICIPATE IN THE OPERATIONS OR IS IT MORE WE WANT TO KNOW SO IF SOMEONE SEES THE OPERATION HAPPENING WE CALL 911. YOU AND YOUR TEAM ARE AWARE.

>> I WOULD SAY IT'S A CASE BY CASE BASIS.

>> IT DEPENDS THE CIRCUMSTANCES HA COMES FROM HEADQUARTERS AND THEY MAKE A DECISION ABOUT THAT.

>> I WILL DO A COUPLE OF MORE BEFORE TURK TO MY COLLEAGUES. THERE IS THE FUSION CENTERS AND THE BRICK, INTERACTIONS BETWEEN BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT AND FEDERAL HOME LAND SECURITY AGENCIES.

THE FUSION CENTER FOR COUNTER TERRORISM, HOME LAND SECURITY AND OTHER AREAS YOU HAVE TALKED WITH, SERGEANT, IS VITAL BUT HAS A TENDENCY I BELIEVE TO BLUR FRONT FOR CIVIL IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT.

WE AGREE IT'S NOT THE RESPONSIBILITY OR SHOULD WE SPEND CITY RESOURCE ON THAT.

HOW DO YOU NAVIGATE THOSE
CONSEQUENCES.

GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE DEA.
YOU SAID YOU'RE A DOUBLY SWORN
AS FAR AS WITH THEM AS WELL.

HOW DO WE DEAL WITH ICE.

I DON'T WANT TO BE LABOR THE
POINT.

THIS IS A URINING TIME IN THIS
COUNTRY.

FROM A MORAL STAND POINT YOU
KNOW THERE IS A REAL DIFFERENCE
OF MY MIND AND PEOPLES MINDS.

IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOM
ENFORCEMENT IS DOING THIS.

PRESIDENT HAS DONE A PERCENTAGE
OF THEIR HOME LAND SECURITY
BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT TOUGH ENOUGH
ON IMMIGRATION.

THIS WILL BE FELT IN CITIES SUCH
AS BOSTON.

HOW DO WE NAVIGATE THAT HOW DO
WE INSURE THE BOSTON POLICE
DEPARTMENT ARE FOCUSED ON STATE
LAWS.

>> THIS COMES FROM THE PEOPLE IN
OUR KPHOUP AND THE RESOURCES.
WITH THAT BEING SAID WHEN YOU
SAID SOMETHING IS BLURRED WHAT
DO YOU MEAN SPECIFICALLY.

>> I THINK WITH THE BOSTON
REGIONAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER,
HOME LAND SECURITY, FUNDING
OFFICERS THERE AND BPD
PARTICIPATES, AS THEY SHOULD, IN
THE BRICK.

HOW DO WE FROM A OPERATIONAL,
DAY TO DAY STAND POINT THE
SPIRIT OF THE TRUST ACT IS BEING
FOLLOWED.

THE LETTER OF THE ACT IS BPD
DOESN'T DETAIN PEOPLE.

THEY DON'T, NO QUESTION IN MY
MIND.

>> WE HAVE NEVER DETAINED
ANYONE.

>> 107 JUST LAST YEAR.

>> EVERYONE IS ELIGIBLE FOR
BAIL.

>> THANK YOU FOR CLAIRE TOYING
THAT.

FOR US THERE ARE NO BLURRED
FRONT AS WELL.

ICE DOES THEIR JOB.

WE HAVE OUR JOB.
OUR JOB IS TO PROTECT THE
CITIZENS OF THE COMMONWEALTH.
WHEN WE TALK ABOUT
INVESTIGATIONS WE WANT TO KNOW
WHO IS DOING WHAT.
WHO IS THE PERSON THAT IS PART
OF THAT INVESTIGATION.
A SUSPECT AND OR OTHER.
WE NEVER ASK ABOUT IMMIGRATION
STATUS.

WE NEED TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE
BECAUSE THEY'RE SUSPECTS.
AS I ELUDED TO EARLIER I DON'T
CARE WHERE YOU ARE FROM.
IF YOU HAVE A NEXIS TO CRIMINAL
ACTIVITY YOU WILL BE
INVESTIGATED IT LAS NOTHING TO
DO WITH ICE.

>> I THINK THE INITIAL QUESTION
I HAVE IS WE ARE IN AGREEMENT
THAT CITY RESOURCES AND BPD
SHOULDN'T PARTICIPATE.

>> THEY HAVE THEIR OWN
RESOURCES, COUNSELOR.

>> THEN AS FAR AS.

>> IF IT'S LEGISLATIVELY WHAT TO
YOUR MIND, TO EITHER OF YOU
GENTLEMEN, WOULD HIP TO CLARIFY
THIS.

MAYBE IT'S A PUBLIC RELATIONS
ASPECT.

HOW DO WE ADDRESS THIS TO MAKE
IT CRYSTAL CLEAR WE FOLLOW THE
LETTER OF THE TRUST ACT.

AGAIN NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT.
PART OF THE HEARING, A LARGE
PART IS HOW DO WE STRENGTHEN
THAT AND MAKE SURE THERE IS NO
CONFUSION IN THE COMMUNITY AND
WE ARE STANDING IN THE SPIRIT OF
THINGS.

>> THERE ARE A COUPLE OF WAYS TO
DO THAT.

FIRST OF ALL DON'T BELIEVE
EVERYTHING IN THE NEWSPAPER.
COME TO THE BOSTON POLICE OR
CITY COUNCIL.

USUALLY WE TALK ALL THE TIME.
WITH THAT BEING SAID WHEN THE
MAYOR IS IN PUBLIC AND I'M IN
PUBLIC AND ANY COMMUNITY
MEETINGS I TELL FOLKS, AS YOU
OFTEN SEE I'M A BLUNT PERSON.

WE'RE NOT THE AGENCIES OF ICE.
WE'RE HERE TO PROTECT EVERYONE.
THAT GOES FOR EACH AND EVERY
PERSON IN THE BOSTON POLICE
DEPARTMENT.
I AGREE WITH YOU, COUNSELOR.
THAT SHOULD BE SET.
BECAUSE PEOPLE LEARN MANY THINGS
FROM SOCIAL MEDIA, MEDIA.
THEN IT'S THE GOD'S GOSPEL AND
HONORABLE TRUTH.
THAT'S NOT THE CASE.
SO WHETHER WE NEED TO EDUCATE
PEOPLE MORE LIKE WE'RE HERE
TODAY.
WE'RE PROUD TO BE HERE TO
EDUCATE PEOPLE ON WHAT WE'RE
REALLY DOING.
I THINK THAT NEEDS TO COME FROM
ALL LEVELS.
SO THE COMMUNITIES OF BOSTON
KNOW WHERE WE STAND.
INCLUDING THE GOVERNMENT THAT
WORKS WITH US AS WELL.
YOU'RE RIGHT THESE ARE
CHALLENGING TIMES.
WE SEE WHAT IS GOING ON IN
WASHINGTON DC.
THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS
NEVER WAVERED IN THEIR DUTIES OR
GONE AGAINST THE TRUST ACT.
COUNSELOR, WE PARTICIPATE IN
NUMEROUS ARRESTS, EXTORTION,
RAPE, MURDER GANGS, FROM -- I
WILL GO FROM A TIME FRAME.
2016 TO NOW.
OVER 90 ARRESTS FOR MS13 AND
18th STREET.
THE VICTIMS WERE THE PEOPLE IN
THE COMMUNITY.
DOES IT MATTER WHAT THE
IMMIGRATION STATUS WAS?
WHAT MATTERED WAS THAT THEY WERE
VICTIMS.
CHILDREN FORCED INTO GANGS,
MURDERED ON BEACHES, IN PARKS,
MULTI KWRAEURL LEADING TO
ARRESTS IN MASSACHUSETTS,
CLEARANCES OF HOMICIDE.
SPECIALLY THE CASE WHERE THAT,
THAT YOUNG MAN WAS KILLED ON
CONSTITUTION BEACH OR A YOUNG
WOMAN KILLED IN THE GARAGE.
-- IMMIGRATION STATUS ON THAT AT

ALL.
WE DID OUR JOBS.
WE PROTECTED THE PEOPLE OF THE
COMMONWEALTH.
WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO SO.
I TOOK A TRIP TO EL SALVADOR TO
FIND OUT THE ROOT OF GAMES IN HE
WILL SALVADOR AND HOW THEY HAVE
INFILTRATED HERE THROUGH
MASSACHUSETTS AND THE COUNTRY.
WE SHOW ON A DAILY BASIS WE
PROTECT THE CITY.
AFTER I RETURNED WE WORKED WITH
CLERGY.
FOLKS IN EAST BOSTON TO HELP
MAKE SURE THAT KIDS WILL NOT
JOIN GANGS.
THEY WILL NOT BE SUSCEPTIBLE TO
VIOLENCE NO MATTER WHERE YOU
HAIL.
FROM NOT JUST EAST BOSTON BUT
ANY ONE OF OUR COMMUNITIES WE'RE
COMMITTED.
AGAIN WE'RE NOT AGENCIES OF ICE.
THAT TRUST ACT WAS PUT INTO
PLACE FOR A REASON.
FOR MASSACHUSETTS AND FOR THE
CITY OF BOSTON TO SHOW EVERYONE
CLEARLY WHETHER THEY BE IN
WASHINGTON D.C. OR NOT THAT WE
WILL PROTECT THE SIT SENDS OF
THE COMMONWEALTH.
YOU CAN BE FROM MARS OR JUPITER.
IF YOU'RE A CRIMINAL WE WILL
PROTECT PEOPLE FROM YOU.
THAT'S HOW WE INVESTIGATE BASED
ON A CRIMINAL NEXIS NOT FROM
WHERE YOU'RE FROM OR IMMIGRATION
STATUS.
>> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.
>> I HAVE ONE LAST ASPECT ABOUT
THE -- THE INCIDENT WIDELY
REPORTED AND WE HAVE TALKED
ABOUT IN 2017.
THE NEWS REPORTS THAT IN MANY
WAYS HAVE SPARKED THIS INTEREST
AND NEED I THINK TO MAKE SURE
WE'RE CLEAR ON HOW THE TRUST ACT
IS.
IS THERE INTERNALLY IN YOUR
DEPARTMENT PROCEDURES IN PLACE.
AS REPORT THE IN THE PRESS, THE
INCIDENT OF FLORES WAS VERY
CONCERNING TO ME AND MANY

OTHERS, I'M SURE AS WELL.
IF YOU OR SERGEANT DETECTIVE
GALLAGHER MENTIONED IN THE PRESS
ARTICLES SHED LIGHT ON HOW THE
INCIDENT CAME TO BE AND IF THERE
WAS COOPERATION BETWEEN
IMMIGRATION CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT
OR THE PROPRIETOR OR THE
EMPLOYER OF THE INDIVIDUAL.
HOW THAT TRANSPIRED AND WHAT IS
BEING DONE, IF IT WAS IMPROPER
HOW TO MAKE SURE IT DOESN'T
HAPPEN AGAIN.
ANY LIGHT TO SHED ON.
THAT.

>> COUNSELOR, THANK YOU FOR
INVITING US TODAY TO SHED LIGHT
ON THAT AND EDUCATE PEOPLE.
THINK THE BPD WAS HELD IN A WAD
LIGHT.

WE DID OUR JOB.
WE DID NOTHING WRONG WITH.
THAT BEING SAID SERGEANT
DETECTIVE GALLAGHER CAN SHED
LIGHT ON THAT INCIDENT AND HOW
IT CAME TO BE.
BECAUSE HE WAS THE SERGEANT, THE
SUPERVISOR THAT WAS CONTACTED BY
THE DISTRICT DETECTIVE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> DO YOU HAVE SPECIFIC
QUESTIONS OR GENERAL --

>> I WOULD SAY IN THE PRESS
REPORTS, I WILL SUMMARIZE THIS.
THIS IS TO THE WBR PARTICULARLY.
AS I UNDERSTAND IT MR. PAS
FLORES WAS INJURED ON THE JOB.
THAT THEY HAD FALLEN OUT OF
COMPLIANCE WITH THE WORKERS COMP
INSURANCE, OSHA INVESTIGATED.
THERE WERE CLAIMS GOING BACK AND
FORTH.

THE EMPLOYERS REACHED OUT TO
BOSTON POLICE DETECTIVE WHO HE
KNEW PERSONALLY TO LOOK INTO THE
EMPLOYEE'S STATUS, IDENTITY, YOU
WERE LOOPED INTO IT THROUGH YOUR
LIAISON ROLL WITH DHS AND UP
ULTIMATELY AN ARREST KWAUZ MADE
THAT BPD OFFICERS WERE
PARTICIPATING IN.
I WOULD LOVE TO --

>> THE STATUS OF THE PERSON'S
IDENTITY AND NOT IMMIGRATION

STATUS.

YES.

NOT IMMIGRATION STATUS.

WE WILL LET THE SERGEANT GO FORWARD.

>> HOW ICE GOT INVOLVED.

>> FIRST OF ALL I THINK THE TERM "LOOPED INTO IT."

WE HAVE PARA MILITARY IS HOW THE POLICE WORKS.

I'M CONTACTED BECAUSE OF MY POSITION.

I'M NOT LOOPED INTO ANYTHING.

I'M CONTACTED BY INVESTIGATORS WITH CASES THEY'RE LOOKING AT SPECIFICALLY.

BECAUSE OF SPECIALTY I AM CONTACTED.

-- I ASKED WHAT HE HAD FOR THE INFORMATION AND THE CONCERN.

HE SAID AGAIN POSSIBLE ID THEFT OR FRAUD WITH THIS PERSON.

HE SEPTEMBER ME A PHOTOGRAPH OF A, A GREEN CARD.

A LEGAL CURRENT RESIDENT CARD.

THAT WAS THE ONLY FORM OF IDENTIFICATION AVAILABLE.

IN MY POSITION EVEN THOUGH I'M LIAISON AND ASSIGNED TO FEDERAL TASK FORCES I DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO A FEDERAL DATABASE.

I DON'T HAVE ANYWAY TO KNOW IF THE CARD IS LEGITIMATE OR ANYTHING LIKE.

THAT THE PEOPLE I WORK WITH HAVE NO ACCESS TO THE BOSTON SYSTEMS EITHER.

I CONTACTED ONE OF THE PEOPLE I WORK WITH.

THEY RAN THIS CARD.

THIS LPR CARD, DRONE CARD SO CALLED.

EACH CARD HAS A IDENTIFYING NUMBER TO THAT CARD.

EACH PERSON, GREG GALLAGHER HAS A GROAN CARD AND MY NUMBER IS SPECIFIC TO ME.

IT'S A UNIQUE NUMBER.

THIS PARTICULAR CARD THE NUMBER CAME BACK TO AN ASIAN MALE.

WHICH WAS OBVIOUSLY NOT THE PERSON ON THE CARD.

IT WAS A FRAUDULENT GREEN CARD.

RIGHT OFF THE BAT POTENTIAL

STATE CHARGES FOR IDENTITY THEFT
AND THAT'S A FEDERAL CLAIM AS
WELL.

A FRAUDULENT GREEN CARD.
FURTHER INVESTIGATION FROM THE
AGENT I SPOKE TO ABOUT THE CARD
REVEALED IN HIS INVESTIGATION
THAT POTENTIALLY THE PERSON WITH
THE CARD HAD AN ACTIVE WANT OF
DEPORTATION THAT WAS ISSUED
2002, ROUGHLY AROUND THAT TIME.
THIS WAS FURTHER INFORMATION I'M
NOT AT LIBERTY TO SPEAK OF THAT
IS INVOLVED IN THIS.

THEY IN FACT HE WAS SUBJECT TO
ARREST BY ICE.

THEY WANTED TO ARREST HIM --
>> THAT INFORMATION HAS TO DO
WITH CORY.

AGAIN WE'RE AS TRANSPARENT AS WE
CAN BE.

WE HAVE COULD BE COGNIZANT OF
THE PERSON'S RIGHTS UNDER COREY.

>> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.

SO, AT THAT POINT I INFORMED THE
DETECTIVE TO THE FACTS.

YOU KNOW IF THERE WAS FURTHER
INFORMATION FROM HIS COUSIN, THE
MORE.

I HAD CONVERSATION WITH THE
GENTLEMAN.

HE INFORMED ME THAT THE
GENTLEMAN WOULD BECOMING IN TO
CHECKUP A CHECK OR MONEY,
WHATEVER THE CASE MAYBE.

I RELAID THE INFORMATION TO ICE.

ICE WAS INJURED TO PICK HIM UP.

ON SCENE AFTER MR. FLORES LEFT
AFTER PICKING UP HIS ITEMS THEY
CONFIRMED HIS IDENTITY AND HE
WAS PLACED UNDER ARREST FOR THE
WARRANT.

>> THANK YOU FOR.

THAT BEING COGNIZANT --

>> A COUPLE OF CLARIFICATIONS.

THE MOTORCYCLE VEHICLE STOP WAS
CONDUCTED, THE SERGEANT WAS NOT
PRESENT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

IT WAS FROM THE IMMIGRATION
COUNTER ENFORCEMENT.

>> OTHER POINTS OF
CLARIFICATION.

IT'S NICE HOW IT WAS FRAMED IN

THE NEWSPAPER.

HERE ARE THE COLD HARD FACTS.
HE WAS JUDGED AT WORK, WENT TO
THE HOSPITAL, THEN HE USED A
VARIATION OF IDs TO IDENTIFY
HIMSELF.

THE HOSPITALS CONTACTED THE
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.

AFTER THAT, THAT INFORMATION WAS
PASSED ON.

HE CAME BACK WITH A FRAUDULENT
GREEN CARD.

WE WOULDN'T OF KNOWN WHO THE GUY
WAS IF HE DID NOT PASS ON
DIFFERENT IDENTITIES TO THE
HOSPITAL AND THEN WAS FOUND TO
HAVE A FRAUD AOU HR-PBT GROAN
CARD.

AGAIN OUR INVESTIGATION WAS
BASED ON WHO IS THE INDIVIDUAL.
THE POSSIBILITY OF CHARGING HIM
WITH FRAUD.

ACTIVE IMMIGRATION NOT
IMMIGRATION STATUS.

I WANT TO BE CLEAR.

>> I APPRECIATE THAT,
COMMISSIONER.

I WILL TURN IT OVER TO MY
COLLEAGUE, COUNSELOR EDWARDS.

I WOULD SAY, SERGEANT, THAT
RECOUNTING OF THE INCIDENT IS
SOMEWHAT CONCERNING.

WHILE NOT IN VIOLATION OF THE
BOSTON TRUST ACT IS WHEN
IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS
ENFORCEMENT HAS SAID ONLY
THEY'RE LOOKING FOR SOMEONE FOR
A IMMIGRATION VIOLATION AND THAT
THEY ARE SUBJECT TO ARREST FOR A
CIVIL IMMIGRATION VIOLATION THAT
IS IN THE SPIRIT WE'RE TALKING
ABOUT.

WE DON'T WANT TO ASSIST IN THAT.

>> DID YOU SAY CIVIL OR SIMPLE.

>> CIVIL.

>> CIVIL.

>> THE DEPORTATION ORDER.

I'M NOT SAYING IT WAS A
VIOLATION OF CURRENT RULES OR
POLICIES.

THAT'S WHAT I'M GETTING AT TO
AVOID THAT IN THE FUTURE.

>> HERE SOOT THING.

WHEN THE ARREST MADE BY, IN THIS

CASE, WE'RE LIMITED TO WHAT WE CAN SAY.

WE CAN'T SAY IF THERE WAS A CRIMINAL NEXUS.

WE CAN'T SAY WHAT UNDER COREY. IT ISN'T SIMPLE.

IT WAS MORE COMPLICATED THEN THAT.

>> AS IT WAS JUST RECOUNTED. THE FACTS AS RECOUNTED HERE.

ICE SAID WE HAVE A CIVIL IMMIGRATION ARREST WARRANT OR ARREST ORDER.

>> THEY MADE AT REST.

NOT THE BOSTON POLICE.

MATTER OF FACT THE WARRANT WAS FROM A FEDERAL JUDGE.

>> BUT THE SHARING OF THE INFORMATION AND COORDINATING WITH SOMEONE WHO EVEN THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION IS PURSUING FOR LABOR VIOLATIONS.

ISSUES OF INTIMIDATED WORKERS FROM FILING WORKERS COMP.

THAT'S TO USE YOUR WORD NEXUS OF WHAT IS HAPPENING.

IT'S NOT A VIOLATION OF OUR CURRENT POLICIESES THIS IS WHAT I WANT TO SEE TO ADDRESS.

>> IF THERE WAS A WARRANT FOR FRAUD FROM THE POLICE, STATE POLICE, FBI OR DEA WAS PURSUING. THIS WAS A CIVIL IMMIGRATION.

>> THIS WAS AN ACTIVE IMMIGRATION.

HE WASN'T AN ASIAN MALE.

THIS IS A UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCE.

DURING THE COURSE OF THE INVESTIGATION IF A INDIVIDUAL HAS A WARRANT AND IT'S FEDERAL ICE HAS THE ABILITY AND AUTHORITY TO MAKE THAT ARREST.

>> NO QUESTION.

>> FOR CLARITY WHAT DID WE DO WRONG?

WE WERE, EXCUSE ME INVESTIGATING THAT INDIVIDUAL, NOT IMMIGRATION STATUS.

BECAUSE VARIATIONS OF NAME, HE'S LATINO.

HE'S NOT ASIAN.

SO, DO WE DO THE SAME THING IF SAY THIS GUY IS FROM JUPITER.

THIS CAME TO OUR IN A. TENSION.

WE INVESTIGATE IT THE SAME WAY.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND --

>> I KEEP SAYING THIS IS MY
LAST, I WILL SAY MY CONCERN,
COMMISSIONER IS NOT VIOLATION OF
THE RULES.

THIS IS A SITUATION WE COULD IN
THE FUTURE NOT WANT TO PROVIDE
IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS EN
FORSMENT WITH THE LOCATION AND
COORDINATION OF SOMEONE WITH A
VESTED INTEREST IN THIS
INDIVIDUAL BEING DEPORTED, BEING
DETAINED AND DOING IT.

WHETHER WE COULD OF KNOWN IT AT
THE TIME OR NOT, I DON'T KNOW.

IF THIS IS SOMETHING WE OFTEN
HEAR ABOUT FROM WORKERS
ADVOCATES AND EMPLOYERS USE THE
THREAT OF IMMIGRATION
ENFORCEMENT TO PREVENT PEOPLE
FROM FILING CLAIMS LIKE WORKERS
COMPENSATION.

I WANT TO SEE PROACTIVELY INTO
THE FUTURE CAN.

WE MAKE SURE BPD IS NOT
ASSISTING IMMIGRATION
ENFORCEMENT.

WE KNOW YOU'RE NOT ICE AGENTS OR
ATTENDING TO DO THAT.

>> SURE WE CAN HAVE THE
DISCUSSIONS.

>> MR. ZAKIM, THE WORST ON
POLICE DIDN'T TAKE UNSCRUPULOUS PENDING IN
COURT.

THAT WILL BE DETERMINED.

THE FACTS WILL COME OUT.

BUT WE HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF
ANYTHING WITH THAT.

BASICALLY IT WAS A FRAUD
INVESTIGATION.

AND IT CAN BE PURSUED BY THE
FEDERAL AGENTS, THE FRAUD CASE.

THAT'S THEIR CHOICE TO MAKE.

I CAN'T PURSUE A FEDERAL CHARGE
ON SOMEBODY.

>> NO, I DIDN'T MEAN TO IMPLY
YOU WERE ACTING UNSCOOPPLY IS.
THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HAPPENED
THAT WAS OF CONCERN.

>> IT'S BEEN PORTRAYED IN THE
PRESS AS THAT.

IT'S DISGUSTING TO BE HONEST
WITH YOU, BECAUSE I'M A

PROFESSIONAL POLICE OFFICER.
I HAVE BEEN FOR 32 YEARS.
I WOULD NEVER PARTAKE IN
ANYTHING LIKE THAT, NOR WOULD
THE ICE AGENTS THAT WORK OR THE
DETECTIVES TO THE BEST OF MY
KNOWLEDGE.

>> AGAIN, FOR THE RECORD, I
DON'T THINK THE BOSTON POLICE OR
MY BROTHERS AND SISTERS IN LAW
ENFORCEMENT AND ACROSS THIS
COMMONWEALTH SHOULD BE THE
SCAPEGOATS BECAUSE OF WHAT'S
HAPPENING IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
AS AFOREMENTIONED, ALL OF T0Asó
ARRESTS -- HOMICIDE, MURDER,
RAPE, EXTORTION -- WE'VE BEEN
THERE EVERY STEP OF THE WAY FOR
THE COMMONWEALTH, AND ESPECIALLY
FOR THE CITY OF BOSTON.

SO THE WAY WE'VE BEEN PAINTED,
LIKE WE'RE THE ONES THAT GAVE
THE FALSE IDENTITY, THAT WE'RE
THE ONES THAT HAVE A CRIMINAL
NEXUS, I DON'T THINK THAT'S
HONORABLE.

AND IT'S NOT BEING RESPECTFUL OF
THE MEN AND WOMEN THAT SERVE
THIS COMMONWEALTH AND OUR UNITED
STATES AND LAW ENFORCEMENT.
WE PROTECT THE CITIZENS.
WE SHOULDN'T BE HELD AS THE ONES
DOING THAT.

>> COMMISSIONER, I CAN SAY FROM
THIS SIDE OF THE TABLE, WE HAVE
OUR OWN CONCERNS WITH PRESS
COVERAGE OVER TIME.
THAT'S NOT WHAT THIS IS ABOUT.
I WANT TO MAKE SURE THE CITY IS
DOING EVERYTHING WE CAN WITH OUR
SHARED VALUES.

I WANT TO TURN IT OVER TO
COUNCILOR EDWARDS.
WE'VE ALSO BEEN JOINED BY
COUNCILORS ESSAIBI GEORGE AND
COUNSELOR JANEY.

>> I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE
VERY CLEAR ABOUT WHAT WE'RE
CONFUSED ABOUT AND WHAT WE'RE
QUESTIONING.
IN NO WAY, SHAPE, AND FORM ARE
WE QUESTIONING YOUR CHARACTER OR
YOUR DEDICATION TO THE CITY,
YOUR RISE TO COMMISSION AS THE

FIRST AFRICAN AMERICAN.
THAT IS NOT IN QUESTION.
I HAVE HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF
WORKING WITH YOUR OFFICERS.
I WILL GIVE THEM COMPLETE CREDIT
FOR THE AMOUNT OF OUTREACH
THEY'VE DONE IN OUR IMMIGRANT
COMMUNITY.

THAT'S BEEN A WORK IN PROGRESS.
CAPTAIN McCORMACK HAS DONE AN
AMAZING JOB, THE PEACE WALKS
I'VE SEEN YOU AT, THE PEACE
WALKS I'VE SEEN A COMMUNITY
OFFICER AT.

THIS IS AN INDICATION, THAT YES,
YOU HAVE TAKEN WITHOUT A DOUBT
US TO THE NEXT LEVEL WHEN IT
COMES TO COMMUNITY POLICING.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I WILL SAY THAT.

THAT IS NOT IN QUESTION.
BUT BECAUSE OF THE WAY THAT
THINGS HAVE BEEN REPORTED,
BECAUSE OF THE FACT WE'RE
DEALING WITH D.C., BECAUSE OF
ALL OF THOSE THINGS, RIGHT, WE
DID GET THE CALLS, AS YOU CAN
IMAGINE.

SO DID YOU.

BUT WE GOT THE CALLS, TOO.
FRIGHTENED PEOPLE, SCARED
MOTHERS, IMMIGRANTS WHO ARE OUR
CONSTITUENTS AND YOURS, AS WELL.
SO THIS QUESTIONING IS NOT A
MATTER OF YOU NOT DOING YOUR JOB
OR YOU NOT BEING DEDICATED TO
THE SAFETY OF FOLKS.

>> I GAVE HIM A RAISE.

>> I DID.

I DID.

>> HE'S GOING TO WANT ONE AFTER
THIS.

>> SERGEANT DETECTIVE GALLAGHER.
SO I WANT TO BE CLEAR, BECAUSE
SOMETIMES MY TONE IS
MISINTERPRETED AS BEING MAYBE
QUESTIONING WHO YOU ARE, AND I'M
ABOUT THAT PROCESS.

I'M GOING TO BE VERY FIRM IN
QUESTIONING ABOUT THE PROCESS.
AND I WANT TO BE CLEAR ABOUT HOW
THAT PERSPECTIVE COMES FROM.

>> SURE.

>> I WAS THAT ATTORNEY.

THANK YOU FOR THAT
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

I WAS THAT ATTORNEY REPRESENTING
THOSE UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS.
I HAVE BEEN THAT WORKERS' RIGHTS
ATTORNEY MORE TIMES THAN NOT,
AND I HAVE SEEN REPEATEDLY --
AND YOU CAN SEE THIS EVEN WHEN
IT COMES TO BLACK AND BROWN MEN
WALKING, RELAXING, SITTING DOWN,
THERE IS AN ABUSE THAT'S
HAPPENING OF YOUR RESOURCES WHEN
IT COMES TO TARGETING PEOPLE IN
THE COMMUNITY.

NOW, IT WILL BE SOMETHING THAT
SOMEONE WILL CALL THE POLICE
ABOUT SOMEONE WHO LOOKS LIKE
THEY'RE NOT FROM THAT
NEIGHBORHOOD, YOU KNOW, YOU GET
THE CALL.

>> A LOT OF TIMES THE PEOPLE
MAKING THE CALL LOOK LIKE THE
PERSON THEY'RE POINTING OUT.

>> I KNOW, BUT TO ME IT'S STILL
AN ABUSE OF YOUR TIME AND
RESOURCES TO COME OUT AND YOU DO
YOUR JOB AND INVESTIGATE, THE
PERSON FEELS LIKE THEIR CIVIL
RIGHTS ARE INVESTIGATING
WONDERING WHY ARE YOU BOTHERING
THEM, AND THEN TRUST IS FURTHER
BROKEN DOWN BETWEEN THE
COMMUNITY AND THE POLICE.

IT'S THE SAME THING WITH
UNDOCUMENTED WORKERS.
WHEN THEY ARE FIGHTING FOR THEIR
WAGES, WHEN THEY'RE FIGHTING FOR
THEIR WORKERS COMP, WHICH THIS
MAN WAS DOING, RIGHT, THOSE ARE
THE COLD, HARD FACTS.

HE WENT TO THE HOSPITAL, WHICH
IS WHAT YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO DO.
WHEN YOU'RE INJURED ON THE JOB,
YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO GO TO THE
HOSPITAL.

THE HOSPITAL FOLLOWED COMPLETE
AND TOTAL PROTOCOL, WHICH IS
THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO CALL THE
EMPLOYER TO SEE WHO IS GOING TO
PLAY THIS BILL SOME THE HOSPITAL
WAS FOLLOWING PROTOCOL.

AS A RESULT, THIS IS TEXTBOOK,
HE DIDN'T HAVE WORKERS'
COMPENSATION AND HE NEEDED TO

MAKE SURE THAT THIS PERSON WENT AWAY.

HE VERY LIKELY KNEW THE DOCUMENTED STATUS OF HIS EMPLOYEE.

HE VERY LIKELY KNEW THAT.

AND THE FACT THAT HE WAS CALLING YOU, THE POLICE, TO FIGURE OUT THE STATUS OF HIS OWN WORKER, RIGHT, TO FIGURE WHITE HOUSE THIS PERSON IS WORKING FOR HIM, HE COULD HAVE CALLED A LOT OF OTHER FOLKS, HE COULD HAVE DONE A BACKGROUND SEARCH HIMSELF, HE COULD HAVE APPROACHED AND TALKED TO THE EMPLOYEE.

HE CALLED THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, WHICH TO ME, YOU HAVE A LOT BETTER THINGS TO DO, CRIMES TO INVESTIGATE, THAN DECIDE IF JOE BLOW IS THE PROPER PERSON TO GET THIS WORKER'S COMPENSATION CHECK.

I FEEL HE USED YOU.

I FEEL HE USED THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND AS A RESULT OF YOU THEN GOING IN TO INVESTIGATE, THIS ALLEGED CRIME THAT HE KIND OF JUST I THINK MADE UP TO GET YOU THERE AS A WAY OF INTIMIDATING HIS WORKER. YEAH.

IT HAPPENS ALL THE TIME.

>> I DIDN'T KNOW THAT... HAS THERE BEEN A COURT CASE WHERE THIS HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THAT WAS HIS INTENT?

I DON'T KNOW.

>> THERE HASN'T BEEN AN ACTUAL FINDING OF FACT BY A JUDGE OR JURY FOR ANY OF THE THINGS WE'VE SAID TODAY, INCLUDING WHETHER, YOU KNOW, LIKE SOME OF THE THINGS YOU'VE SAID OR WHAT I'M SAYING.

>> I'M NOT TRYING TO BE SMART TO YOU, FOR POINT OF CLARITY, WOW, I DIDN'T KNOW THAT HAPPENED.

>> I APPRECIATE THAT.

BUT I'M TELLING YOU LITERALLY FROM THE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN REPRESENTING WORKERS, THAT'S HOW IT WORKS.

YOU ARE USED IN THIS PROCESS.

YOU ARE CALLED, AND I JUST MENTIONED IT WHEN IT COMES TO BLACK AND BROWN MEN, YOU ARE USED AS A MEANS TO ABUSE AND EXACT OR INTIMIDATE PEOPLE. IT'S OFFENSIVE I THINK EVEN TO YOU THAT YOU'RE USED. SO I GUESS I WANT TO MAKE SURE, AND I'M GLAD WE TALKED ABOUT, THIS BUT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CHANGE THE TRUST ACT TO MAKE IT MORE TRUSTWORTHY TO, MAKE SURE THAT YOU ARE NOT USED IN THOSE PROCESSES.

I WANT TO SPECIFICALLY TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE THINGS THAT SERGEANT DETECTIVE GALLAGHER BROUGHT UP.

YOU HAD MENTIONED, AND THIS IS WHY... THERE IS NO ICE TASK FORCE.

IS THAT WHAT YOU SAID?

>> NO.

I'M ASSIGNED TO -- I'M DETAIL FROM A DRUG CONTROL UNIT TO B.P.D.

I WORK HOMELAND SECURITY AND ICE.

SO MY TITLE WHERE I WORK IS B.P.D./D.C.U. ICE.

>> B.P.D., D.C.U.?

>> DRUG CONTROL UNIT.

OR ICE.

>> WHAT IS THAT?

IS IT A TASK FORCE?

IS IT A SPECIAL OFFICE IN WHAT

IS IT?

IS IT JUST YOU?

>> YES, I'M DETAILED OVER TO THIS PARTICULAR H.S.I. GROUP AND I LIAISON WITH ANOTHER ICE AGENT.

>> SO IT'S JUST YOU WHO IS B.P.D., D.C.U., ICE?

>> CORRECT.

>> IS THIS NORMAL IN OTHER POLICE DEPARTMENTS?

ARE THERE OTHER SERGEANT DETECTIVES LIKE THIS, TOO?

>> I DON'T KNOW ABOUT OTHER POLICE DEPARTMENTS.

WE HAVE OTHER OFFICERS ON FEDERAL TASK FORCES.

>> NO, I'M THINKING CITY LEVEL.

THAT'S WHAT I'M THINKING.
OTHER CITIES THAT ARE ALSO DOING
THIS, AS WELL?

>> WELK I'M SURE -- I DON'T
KNOW.

I COULDN'T TELL YOU.

>> I KNOW FOR BOSTON POLICE WE
HAVE LIAISONS TO OUR FEDERAL
PARTNERS.

>> HE'S THE ONLY ONE IN THIS
TASK FORCE?

>> YES.

THAT'S RIGHT.

>> THE OTHER LIAISONS ARE
BECAUSE YOU HAVE ALL SORTS OF
FEDERAL CRIMES, HUMAN
TRAFFICKING, SO ON AND SO FORTH,
BUT THIS ONE IS... SO YOU TOLD
ICE WHERE MR. TAZ WAS ON THE DAY
HE WAS ARRESTED BY ICE.

>> YES, CORRECT, THE ICE AGENT
INVOLVED.

>> OKAY.

SO AGAIN, NOT VIOLATING THE
TRUST ACT AS IT'S WRITTEN, WE
MIGHT HAVE TO IMPROVE THAT.
I WON'T CALL IT A LOOPHOLE.
YOU GUYS ARE TRYING TO GET
AROUND.

WE WOULD HAVE TO FIX THAT GAP.
BUT I GUESS MY CONCERN IS AFTER
YOU HAD ALREADY OR AFTER ICE
KNEW THAT THIS PERSON WAS
INVOLVED AND THEY'RE DOING THEIR
OWN ARREST, THEIR OWN
INVESTIGATION, THEY UNDERSTAND
THAT THIS PERSON IS THERE, WHY
DOES B.P.D. NEED TO TELL ICE
WHERE HE IS?

I GUESS ONCE ICE HAS IT AND...
SO YOU DO THE IDENTITY PARTED.
YOU SAID YOU DO.

LYDIA EDWARDS, YOU KNOW, 5'4"
SOME ODD POUNDS WALKS DOWN THE
STREET, SHE'S OVER THERE.

ICE IS LIKE, YES, WE, OKAY,
GOOD, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR
IDENTIFYING.

WE KNOW SHE IS PRESENT.

WE KNOW THAT THIS IS THE PERSON
WE'VE BEEN LOOKING FOR.

AT THAT POINT, WHY WOULD B.P.D.
NEED TO SAY, SHE'S ENTERING CITY
HALL, SHE'S GOING SOMEPLACE OVER

THERE?

WHY DOES B.P.D. NEED TO BE
DIRECTING ICE.

ONCE ICE KNOWS THE PERSON
THEY'RE LOOKING FOR EXISTS?

>> OKAY.

UNDERSTOOD.

>> WHY DID YOU NEED TO...

>> SO IN OTHER WORDS, IF WE'RE
GIVING INFORMATION TO ANY
FEDERAL AGENCY, WHOEVER, AND
THEY HAVE ISSUES WITH THAT
PERSON, YOU'RE SAYING NOT TO
TELL THEM WHERE THAT PERSON IS?
I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION.

>> I UNDERSTOOD THAT YOUR JOB
WAS ABOUT THE IDENTITY AND
MAKING SURE.

>> RIGHT.

>> RIGHT.

IN THAT CASE, THAT WOULDN'T BE
CLOSED UNTIL THE ACTUAL ARREST
IS MADE, BECAUSE THAT HAS TO BE
VERIFIED.

SO THERE IS AN IDENTITY THEFT
CASE GOING ON.

>> SO YOU WERE HELPING THEM.

>> RIGHT.

>> THIS IS HER, THIS IS LYDIA.

THIS IS LYDIA.

THIS IS HER.

ALL RIGHT.

SO I UNDERSTAND FROM WHAT YOU
GUYS WERE TALKING ABOUT, THAT'S
REALLY WHERE YOU GUYS GO TO JUST
IDENTIFY.

THIS IS LYDIA, THIS IS NOT
LYDIA.

THIS PERSON'S GOT NOTHING TO DO
WITH IT.

THIS PERSON DOES SOME ONCE WE'VE
DONE THAT.

>> IT STILL ISN'T CONFIRMED
UNTIL THE MOTOR VEHICLE STOP.

>> THE IDENTITY WASN'T
CONFIRMED.

WE SUSPECTED IT TO BE THIS
PERSON, BUT IT COULD HAVE BEEN
SOMEBODY ELSE.

IT'S NOT COMPLETE UNTIL THE
PERSON IS IDENTIFIED, AND THAT'S
DUE TO THE ARREST, BECAUSE OF
THE OUTSTANDING WARRANT.

>> SO YOU FELT YOU NEEDED TO SAY

WHERE POTENTIALLY I WAS OR WHERE
POTENTIALLY THIS PERSON WAS?
YOU SAID, ICE, HE'S POTENTIALLY
THERE, RIGHT?

>> YES.

>> SO THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO
FIGURE OUT.

I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHY.

>> WE'RE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT
WHAT WE DID WRONG.

>> I'M NOT SAYING IT'S WRONG.

>> I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY YOU
KEEP ASKING US IF IT'S NOT
ANYTHING WRONG, BUT HERE'S THE
THING, WE STILL DIDN'T KNOW WHO
HE WAS.

THEY MADE THE MOTOR VEHICLE
STOPPED AND THEY DETERMINED THE
IDENTITY.

THEY VERIFIED IT.

>> RIGHT.

BUT THEY KNEW TO STOP HIM AT
THAT PARTICULAR POINT BECAUSE
B.P.D. TOLD THEM THAT THIS
PERSON IS SUSPECTED TO BE THIS
PERSON.

AND THAT IS WHAT I'M TRYING TO
FIGURE OUT WHY B.P.D. HAD A ROLE
IN HELPING BEYOND JUST SAYING,
YOU DID YOUR INVESTIGATION.
BEYOND, THAT WHY ARE WE
WORKING --

>> THE INVESTIGATION WASN'T
COMPLETE BECAUSE WE DID NOT KNOW
WHO HE WAS STILL UNTIL THEY
COMPLETED THE MOTOR VEHICLE
STOP.

IT'S NOT THAT CUT AND DRY.

>> OKAY.

SO I THINK...

>> IF THAT'S SOMETHING WE NEED
TO WORK ON AND WE NEED TO
CHANGE, BECAUSE...

>> NO, NO, NO.

YOUR REAL-LIFE EXPERIENCE OF HOW
THINGS WORK INFORMS HOW WE
SHOULD WRITE POLICY.
RIGHT?

THEIR PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE IN
HOW THEY'RE SEEING THINGS
INFORMS HOW WE SHOULD WRITE
POLICY.

IF THERE IS A DISCONNECT WHERE
WE HAD A GOAL OF A POLICY,

SEPARATING B.P.D. FROM ICE, IF THAT WAS THE GOAL OF THAT POLICY, RIGHT?

AND WE SEE THAT THERE IS NOT SEPARATION DUE TO PRACTICAL REALITIES, NOT RIGHT OR WRONG, THE PRACTICAL REALITY OF HOW YOU OPERATE.

>> RIGHT.

>> THEN WE NEED TO WRITE A BETTER ORDINANCE IS WHAT I'M SAYING.

>> WE JUST WANT POINTS OF CLARITY.

IF SOMEONE IS FOUND TO HAVE A WARRANT AND WE ARE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS, WE'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO AND WE TOOK AN OATH, JUST TURN THE OTHER WAY?

WHETHER THEY HAVE IMMIGRATION ISSUES OR NOT?

I DON'T GET IT.

I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED ON THAT.

I'M NOT BEING FACETIOUS.

>> I DON'T THINK YOU ARE.

I THINK WE'RE TRYING TO WORK THIS OUT.

FOR ME IT'S TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE LAST CALL, THE REASON WHY SOMEONE ISN'T IN ICE'S HANDS IS NOT MY LOCAL POLICE.

>> RIGHT.

THAT'S MY GOAL.

>> I WOULD SAY THIS, AS WELL.

THAT INCIDENT HAPPENED IN 2017.

AND WE'RE JUST NOW TALKING ABOUT IT IN 2019.

>> I KNOW.

>> I'M THINKING.

IF THAT WAS SO PREVALENT, WOULDN'T WE HAVE A WHOLE LITANY OF THESE INCIDENTS?

THAT'S ANOTHER THING I DON'T UNDERSTAND.

2017.

COUNCILOR, YOU WERE A COUNCILOR THEN.

>> YES.

>> 2017.

>> NO, NO, I WAS NOT.

>> NOT YOU.

I'M TALKING TO THAT COUNCILOR.

THAT'S WHAT'S CONFUSING.

WHY DIDN'T WE HAVE THAT

DISCUSSION THEN.
WE COULD HAVE BEEN FURTHER DOWN
THE ROAD.
MAYBE YOU DIDN'T KNOW.
I DON'T KNOW.

>> TRUE.
LY SAY THIS, HAVING BEEN THAT
ATTORNEY, RIGHT, HAVING
REPRESENTED THOSE WORKERS, THEY
ALSO AREN'T CUT-AND-DRY AND
IMMEDIATE EITHER.
THERE'S A LOT OF RIPPLE EFFECTS,
FEAR, THAT THAT INDIVIDUAL
WORKER HAS.
SOMETIMES IT'S TOUGH TO GET MY
CLIENTS TO GO TO THE HOSPITAL
BLEEDING BECAUSE THEY WERE SO
SCARED THAT THEY WOULD BE
DEPORTED, NOT HAVING ANYTHING TO
DO WITH YOU.

SO I WANT TO BE CLEAR.
THE LAST THING A LOT OF THEM
WANT TO BE IS IN THE NEWSPAPER
WITH THEIR NAME.

>> AND WE ARE RIGHT WITH YOU.
A LOT OF TIMES WE HAVE, TRUST
ME, WE'RE RIGHT WITH YOU.
WHEN WE SEE SOMEONE THAT'S BEEN
VICTIMIZED, WE WANT THEM TO COME
FORWARD.

AGAIN, THAT'S WHY WE HAVE U
VISAS, WE WILL PROTECT YOU, WE
HAVE YOUR BACK.

>> MY POINT IS THAT'S PROBABLY
FEEDING INTO WHY THERE ARE FEWER
CASES, IT'S TRICKING UP TO CITY
HALL.

>> MAYBE THAT'S SOMETHING WE
SHOULD WORK ON.

>> ABSOLUTELY.
AND WHEN WE DO INVESTIGATE AND
LOOK AT THESE CERTAIN CRIMES,
AND THESE ARE WORK PRAIS --
WORKPLACE CRIME, UNDER WORKER'S
COMP, THERE ARE TIMES YOU CAN
LOOK FORWARD, I WOULD ENCOURAGE
YOU TO LOOK INTO HOW MANY
WORKER'S COMP CLAIMS THE
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY MAY HAVE
HAD.

YOU MIGHT WANT THE LOOK AT SOME
OF THE CRIMES THOSE EMPLOYERS
HAVE COMMITTED.
THE WORKERS COMPENSATION LAWS...

>> WE HAVE SOME INFO FOR YOU.
I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR THE
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, BUT THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE LOOKED
INTO THIS CASE, I DON'T KNOW IF
YOU'RE AWARE OF THAT.

ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT?

>> NO.

>> WE COOPERATED WITH THEM
COMPLETELY.
AND BASICALLY WHAT I UNDERSTAND,
NOTHING CAME OUT OF THAT
INVESTIGATION.

>> AS I ELUDED TO EARLIER...

>> WHAT INVESTIGATION OF WHAT?
>> OF THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
AND THIS INCIDENT WHICH YOU'VE
BEEN TALKING ABOUT AND WHICH
COUNCILOR ZAKIM MENTIONED
EARLIER.

>> SO WHEN I ASKED YOU EARLIER,
I WASN'T BEING FACETIOUS.
I'M LIKE, WOW, WE KNOW THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL INVESTIGATED
THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, BUT I
DIDN'T KNOW THE OUTCOME OF THAT
CASE.

>> THAT'S WHY I WAS SAYING, I
DIDN'T KNOW.

>> I'M NOT GOING TO BLAKE
BORTLES WITH THE WONKINESS THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL INVESTIGATION.
THEY COULD HAVE SEVERAL OPEN ON
MANY DIFFERENT CRIMES, WAGE
THEFT, WORKERS COMP, ALL SORTS
OF DIFFERENT THINGS.

I DON'T KNOW, ONE, WHICH ONE
YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, IF THEY
INVESTIGATED THEM ALL
COMPLETELY, WHAT HAPPENED.
BUT THANK YOU FOR THAT.

I'LL BE SURE TO CHECK IN WITH
ATTORNEY GENERAL HEALY.

>> THIS WAS INVESTIGATED BY THE
A.G.'S OFFICE, THIS INCIDENT
SPECIFICALLY.

>> BUT THERE ARE SEVERAL ISSUES
THEY COULD HAVE INVESTIGATED.

>> RIGHT.

>> YOU'RE SAYING THEY FOUND NO
WAGE THEFT.

>> I THINK YOU NEED TO HAVE THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL HERE.

>> EXACTLY.

>> AND THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.

>> I THINK THEY SHOULD BE IN
JAIL, I'LL PUT THAT OUT THERE.
BECAUSE AGAIN, I JUST FROM WHAT
I HAVE DONE FOR YEARS, THIS IS
WHAT YOU HAVE DONE FOR YEARS
INFORMS WHAT YOU'VE DONE.
YOU HAVE A GUT REACTION.

YOU KNOW HOW THINGS ARE, YOU
KIND OF KNOW WHO IS IN AND NOT
TELLING YOU THE TRUTH, THIS IS
JUST TEXTBOOK WHAT THEY DID.

>> WE WILL WORK WITH YOU.
WE NEED TO DISCUSS ANYTHING
FURTHER...

>> I THINK WE'RE ON THE SAME
PAGE.

>> THIS IS ONE UNIQUE INCIDENT
FROM 2017 THAT WE'RE JUST NOW
DISCUSSING.

>> BUT IT DOESN'T MEAN IT'S THE
ONLY ONE.

>> BUT THIS CASE HAS BEEN
PORTRAYED IN THE MEDIA AS, YOU
KNOW, IT'S VERY, VERY
INSTRUMENTAL TO WHAT'S GOING ON
IN D.C. AND HOW IT'S VIEWED BY
THE COMMONWEALTH OF
MASSACHUSETTS SINCE WE HAVE THE
TRUST ACT.

SO THIS IS AN INSTRUMENTAL CASE
TO DETERMINE HOW WE MOVE
FORWARD.

SO WE'RE AGREEING WITH YOU.
SO IT'S A LITTLE LATE, BUT SINCE
IT'S COME TO OUR ATTENTION, WE
SHOULD BE WORKING TOGETHER.
BECAUSE IT IS ABOUT TRUST, AND
WHEN PEOPLE ARE VICTIMIZED, HEY
SHOULD FEEL FREE TO COME TO THE
BOSTON POLICE, YOU, OR ANYONE,
SO THAT WE CAN HELP THEM, BUT
ALSO KNOW THIS, THE PEOPLE THAT
COME HERE TO MAKE THIS COUNTRY A
BETTER PLACE TO, FURTHER THEIR
BLOOD LINE, TO FURTHER THEIR
NICE SAFE ENVIRONMENT, THERE ARE
PEOPLE THAT COME IN RIGHT WITH
THEM THAT HAVE CRIMINAL
ENTERPRISE IN THEIR MINDSET.

SO WITH THAT BEING SAID, WE WILL
ALSO PROTECT THE INDIVIDUALS
THAT ARE RESIDENTS OF BOSTON
FROM ANY CRIMINAL NO MATTER

WHERE THEY HAIL FROM.
SO AS LONG AS WE'RE ON THE SAME
PAGE AS THAT, TOO, THEN I'M NOT
GOING TO TURN MY HEAD THE OTHER
WAY IF SOMEONE SAYS, HEY, YEAH,
I DID THIS, I DID THAT, BUT, YOU
KNOW, THERE COULD BE AN
IMMIGRATION STATUS, YOU SHOULD
TURN THE OTHER WAY, BECAUSE
THAT'S WHAT THE TRUST ACT SAYS.
SO WE NEED TO MAKE SURE PEOPLE
ARE CRYSTAL CLEAR ON THAT.
BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF
CONFUSION ABOUT THAT.
IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, AND YOU
KNOW I TALK THE PEOPLE IN THE
COMMUNITY, SOME PEOPLE THINK
THAT IF SOMEONE IS QUESTIONING
US ABOUT US QUESTIONING THEM
ABOUT THEIR IMMIGRATION STATUS
THAT THEY GET A FREE PASS.
WHY IS THAT?
I'M LIKE, THAT'S NOT THE CASE.
SO ON BOTH SIDES WE NEED TO
EDUCATE THE PUBLIC A LITTLE MORE
ABOUT WHAT THE TRUST ACT IS AND
HOW WE'RE WORKING TOGETHER.
SO I'M IN TOTAL AGREEMENT WITH
YOU.
>> SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M
VERY CLEAR ALSO ABOUT HOW WHEN
YOU DO COME AND HOW YOU'RE
CALLED, BECAUSE I THINK THAT
AGAIN IS ALL... TO ME IT'S AN
ABUSE OF RESOURCES.
WHEN PEOPLE CALL YOU IN ON
WHETHER THE PERSON IS A BLACK
MAN WALKING AROUND OR SITTING
DOWN OR HAVING STARBUCKS, WE'VE
ALL SEEN THIS, OR WHETHER THE
PERSON HAS NOW BEEN WORKING FOR
ME FOR YEARS, RIGHT, AND ALL OF
A SUDDEN I DON'T KNOW WHO THIS
PERSON IS.
THAT'S MY CRITIQUE OF THE
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.
I DON'T BELIEVE THEY'RE CONFUSED
ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE DOING.
THAT BEING SAID, BOSTON HIGH
SCHOOL, IN MY SCHOOL, WE HAD AN
INCIDENT WITH A YOUNG MAN WHO
WAS LABELED "GANG RELATED."
I DON'T BELIEVE IT WAS BY THE
B.P.D.

I THINK IT WAS BY THE SCHOOL OFFICER.

AS A RESULT, SOME OF THE CRITIQUE IS ABOUT POTENTIALLY THE OVERREACH OF SOME OF THE SCHOOL OFFICERS AND THEN LABELING.

>> I'M IWEAR OF THAT REPORT.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE INDIVIDUAL SELF-IDENTIFIED, IF IT'S THE SAME REPORT I'M TALKING ABOUT, I DON'T KNOW IF I HAVE IT, AND EVEN THAT SCHOOL POLICE OFFICER, NOT TO BE CONFUSED WITH BOSTON POLICE SCHOOL UNIT, SAID THAT HE PASSED THE INFO TO BRING.

IT NEVER ARRIVED AT BRICK. HE NEVER PASSED THAT FORWARD. MAYBE THAT'S SOMETHING WE CAN COMPARE AFTER, BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE THAT REPORT IN FRONT OF ME, BUT THE REPORT THAT I READ STATED THAT THE INDIVIDUAL SELF-IDENTIFIED AS MS.

>> 13.

AGAIN, THAT REPORT NEVER REACHED BRICK EVEN THOUGH IT SAID. WE DON'T HAVE INFO FROM THAT REPORT, BUT THAT IS A SCHOOL POLICE REPORT.

>> RIGHT.

RIGHT.

SO I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S THIS REDACTED ONE EITHER. WE'LL COMPARE PAPERWORK LATER.

>> WE HAVE TO BEWARE OF REDACTIONS.

WE CAN DEFINITELY TALK ABOUT THAT.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, I'LL GET THE REPORT.

I'LL MEET WITH YOU, AND WE CAN GO OVER THAT.

>> BUT LET'S FOR EVERYONE'S EDUCATION TALK ABOUT PROCESS.

AND HELP ME UNDERSTAND.

WHEN SOMEONE IS LABELED A GANG-RELATED PERSON.

>> GANG MEMBER?

>> GANG MEMBER OR GANG RELATED. CAN YOU BE GANG RELATED AND NOT AN OFFICIAL MEMBER AND STILL BE IN THE NEXUS?

>> YOU CAN BE AN ASSOCIATE, AS WELL.

>> SO THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD PROMPT YOUR ATTENTION, RIGHT?

>> RIGHT.

>> TO INVESTIGATE.

SO I GUESS MY CONCERN IS THAT THAT COULD BE JUST LIKE, YOU KNOW, HAVING STARBUCKS WHILE BLACK.

THAT COULD BE OVERLY USED TO ABUSE YOUR RESOURCES TO HAVEO INVESTIGATE PEOPLE WHO HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THOSE CRIMES. SO WHEN YOU COME IN ON OR WHEN YOU GO TO SEE WHETHER THIS PERSON IS GANG RELATED, TALK ME THROUGH THAT PROCESS.

DO YOU LOOK AT POINTS OR...

>> YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT.

>> I'M JUST GENUINELY NOT TRYING TO BE FRESH.

THERE IS TOO MUCH MISINFORMATION ABOUT HOW YOU ASSESS INDIVIDUALS.

THERE IS TOO MUCH.

AND SO I WANT TO KNOW, LIKE AGAIN, JUST MAKE ME THE PERSON, I'M CALLING ABOUT JOSH, COUNCILOR ZAKIM, HI, THIS PERSON IS IN MY HIGH SCHOOL.

>> J

>> I THINK HE IS.

>> NO, THAT HAS TO BE VETTED.

>> OKAY.

SO TELL ME ABOUT THE VETTING.

TELL ME ABOUT THAT.

>> WELL, YOU CAN'T JUST SAY JOSH IS A GANG MEMBER.

>> RIGHT, RIGHT.

>> THERE HAS TO BE CERTAIN CRITERIA.

HAS HE BEEN IDENTIFIED?

HAS HE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY COURTS?

HAS HE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OR DIFFERENT AGENCIES.

YOU CAN'T JUST SAY JOSH IS A GANG MEMBER.

>> SO YOU DO THE VETTING?

>> YES.

>> IS PART OF THAT VETTING

INCLUDING PASSING JOSH'S
INFORMATION TO FEDERAL AGENCIES
TO ALSO CHECK?

ABOUT WHETHER HE'S IN A GANG OR
GANG RELATED?

>> NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

IT HAS TO BE MORE CLEAR, BECAUSE
I'M NOT TRYING TO BE ELUSIVE,
BUT RIGHT NOW THE BOSTON POLICE
DEPARTMENT IS BEING SUED, AND
IT'S ABOUT THE GANG DATABASE AND
BRICK.

SO AGAIN, JUST FOR CLARITY AND
EVERYBODY WATCHING, I'M NOT
TRYING TO BE EVASIVE, BUT THERE
IS A PENDING LAWSUIT.

SO THERE'S ONLY CERTAIN THINGS I
CAN ANSWER AND N ONLY A CERTAIN
WAY.

AND I WOULD BE MORE COMFORTABLE
IF I CHECKED WITH THE ATTORNEYS
ON THAT AND THEN YOU CAN REPORT
BACK TO YOUR CONSTITUENCY.

AGAIN, THERE IS A LAWSUIT
PENDING ABOUT BRICK, THE
DATABASE, AND EVERYTHING THAT
GOES ALONG WITH IT.

>> SO LET ME JUST BE CLEAR THEN
ABOUT WHAT ARE THE QUESTIONS I
HAVE PENDING THAT YOU MAY NOT BE
ABLE TO ANSWER.

>> WE'LL GO TO THE ATTORNEYS
TODAY, CAN WE ANSWER THIS OR
NOT, AND I WILL GET BACK TO YOU
TODAY.

>> SO WHEN YOU GO BACK TO THE
ATTORNEYS, THE QUESTION WOULD BE
ABOUT YOUR VETTING.

>> YES.

>> THE VETTING CRITERIA YOU USE
AND WHETHER THAT VETTING
CRITERIA ALSO LOOPS IN FEDERAL
AGENCIES, INCLUDING ICE, TO
DETERMINE IF THAT PERSON IS ALSO
PART OF A GANG.

>> THAT'S DEFINITELY AT THE CRUX
OF THE LAWSUIT.

SO AGAIN, WE'LL PUT YOU IN
CONTACT WITH THE ATTORNEYS.
I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT AT
ALL, BUT I DID NOT WANT IT TO
APPEAR, I KNOW THIS IS BEING
TELEVISED, THAT I'M TRYING TO BE
EVASIVE AT ALL.

>> NO.
>> BUT SOME OF THOSE ISSUES ARE
IN THE LAWSUIT.
>> NO.
I THINK THAT'S AT LEAST VERY
CLEAR ABOUT THE QUESTIONS
PENDING.
I DO TAKE YOU AT YOUR WORD THAT
IF THERE IS A WAY TO GET THAT
ANSWER TO ME THROUGH YOUR LEGAL
COUNSEL.
>> YOU KNOW ME.
>> I KNOW YOU.
I KNOW YOU WILL GET THAT
INFORMATION TO ME.
I KNOW YOU.
>> YES.
>> SO JUST SOME VERY QUICK...
AND I KNOW MY COLLEAGUES A ALSO
INTERESTED IN GETTING SOME
INFORMATION.
LET'S TALK ABOUT INCREASING
TRUST.
THERE IS SOME LANGUAGE WE MIGHT
WANT TO INCREASE IN THE TRUST
ACT.
BUT I HAVE TRIED MANY TIMES.
IT IS NOT THAT EASY TO GET A U
VISA.
WE CAN TALK ABOUT THAT.
>> WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO WORK
TOGETHER.
>> I WOULD LOVE FOR DO YOU
INCREASE YOUR PARTNERSHIP IN
THAT WORLD.
THESE ADVOCATES WILL BE ABLE TO
GET YOU THOSE CASES WITHOUT A
DOUBT OR THOSE FOLKS WHO ARE
GOING TO COME FORWARD AND DO
EXACTLY WHAT THE U VISA
REQUIRES, REPORT THE CRIME, BE
THE WITNESS.
>> I SUGGEST WE GO AND SPEAK
WITH THEM TOGETHER.
>> I WOULD LOVE TO.
>> I WOULD LOVE TO, AS WELL.
>> ABOUT HOW WE CAN INCREASE
THAT PIPELINE AND HOW WE
INCREASE THE APPROVAL FOR U
VISAS, BOTH LABOR AND ALSO HUMAN
TRAFFICKING AND LABOR
TRAFFICKING AND ALL THESE OTHER
CRIMINAL THINGS THAT YOU WOULD
BE INVESTIGATING.

>> YOU KNOW ME, I WILL GO WITH YOU AND I WILL SPEAK TO YOU, BECAUSE IT'S ABOUT PEOPLE BEING VICTIMIZED.

WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE THAT.

>> AND WE'LL GET THESE VIES AS. THEY'RE IMPORTANT FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE VICTIMS OF CRIME.

THAT'S AN IMPORTANT THING.

>> LET'S SEE HOW WE CAN WORK ON THAT TOGETHER.

I'M IN COMPLETE AGREEMENT WITH YOU.

I THINK THE SOONER THE BETTER. THAT'S GOING TO SEND A MESSAGE TO PREDATORS WHO WOULD VICTIMIZE AND ABUSE THAT WE ARE WORKING TOGETHER.

THAT'S WHY WE SHOULD HAVE A UNIFIED FRONT IN COMBATING CRIMINAL ACTIVITY AND PEOPLE THAT VICTIMIZE, NO MATTER WHERE PEOPLE ARE FROM, THAT VICTIMIZE OUR RESIDENTS IN THIS CITY.

>> I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO MY OTHER COLLEAGUE.

AND IF I HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR EDWARDS. COUNCILOR ESSAIBI GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR ZAKIM FOR THIS HEARING AND COUNCILOR EDWARDS FOR THE LEVEL OF DETAINING IN YOUR QUESTIONING WHICH MORNING.

THANK YOU BOTH FOR BEING HERE. I'M SORRY THAT I MISSED THE EARLY PART OF YOUR PRESENTATION, BUT I WILL CERTAINLY GO BACK AND REVIEW THE TAPE.

>> THE INDIVIDUAL WE WERE TALKING ABOUT EARLIER, WHAT MEASURES DO YOU TAKE IN YOUR CITY OR IN YOUR DEPARTMENT TO PROTECT THE IDENTITY OF THOSE PEOPLE AND THEIR DOCUMENTATION GOING FORWARD.

WHAT CAN WE DO TO MAKE SURE THOSE ALIASES THAT I ASSUME MAY BELONG TO REAL PEOPLE?

>> YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT PROTECTING THE ASIAN MALE. AT THAT PERSON, THAT WOULD BE UP TO THE FEDERAL INVESTIGATION,

BUT WE'RE DEFINITELY WILLING TO TAKE THAT OVER, BUT --
>> DO WE KNOW IF THAT'S A BOSTON RESIDENT OR SOMEONE LOCAL TO THAT AREA?

>> I'M NOT AWARE.
THAT'S THE FEDERAL INVESTIGATION.

THEY HAVE THE CONTACT INFORMATION.

IF THEY PURSUE AN INVESTIGATION AND WE WERE NEEDED TO HELP ASSIST WITH SOME ISSUE THAT CAME UP WITH, THAT WE WOULD DO THAT, BUT ON MY OWN I CANNOT ANSWER THAT.

>> WE WOULD HOPE THEY WOULD CONTACT THAT INDIVIDUAL AND SAY, LISTEN, SOMEONE IS USING YOUR I.D.

I.D. THEFT COSTS US \$10.7 BILLION LAST YEAR.

>> I THINK ALSO WITH THAT NAME BEING ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH A WELL-KNOWN CASE NOW --

>> YOU THINK WE CAN FIND THAT OUT?

>> I CAN LOOK INTO THAT AND SEE WHAT HAPPENED.

AGAIN NEW YORK 2017, SO IT MAY TAKE A WHILE, BUT WITH WE'LL GET THAT INFO.

>> WE'RE LEARNING SOME GREATER DETAILS OF THAT CASE NOW.

SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ALSO TAKING THE STEPS THAT WE NEED TO PROTECT THOSE PEOPLE.

>> ABSOLUTELY.

>> YOU ALSO MENTIONED THAT AS PART OF THE CASE THERE WERE NUMEROUS ALIASES THAT WERE PRESENTED.

>> I SAID THERE WERE A VARIATION OF NAMES.

HOWEVER THAT WAS DONE, THE HOSPITAL WITH THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.

>> SO THERE ARE SPECIFICS OF THAT CASE THAT ANY INDIVIDUAL THAT MAY EXIST WHOSE NAME WAS PEDESTRIAN, WE'RE MAKING SURE WE'RE PROTECTING THAT INDIVIDUAL.

THROUGH THIS CASE, THROUGH THE

EAST BOSTON HIGH SCHOOL CASE
WHICH I HAVE A COMMENT ABOUT IN
A MOMENT, WHAT ARE THE THINGS
THAT WE'RE LEARNING AS A CITY
AND AS A DEPARTMENT THAT WE'RE
THEN APPLYING TO PROFESSIONAL
DOCUMENT TO SUPPORT THE WORK OF
YOUR OFFICERS EVERY SINGLE DAY?
>> I THINK IT'S SOMETHING AS
SIMPLE AS WHAT WE'RE DOING
TODAY, TALKING, SEEING HOW WE
CAN BUILD TRUST IN THE COMMUNITY
SO WHEN WE DO GET INFORMATION
ABOUT INDIVIDUALS THAT WE'RE
GETTING IT FROM TRUE INTEL, THE
PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY, FROM
OUR SYSTEMS.
IT SHOULD BE A UNIFIED FRONT.
BECAUSE WE HAVE SEEN A TRAGEDY.
THAT TRAGEDY, FOLKS, WAS A YOUNG
MAN THAT WAS IDENTIFIED AS AN
MS-13 GANG MASTER, A FEDERAL
JUDGE SAID BOSTON POLICE, WHAT
ARE YOU DOING?
THE KNIFE IS FOR CHOPPING
VEGETABLES AND THE TATTOO IS FOR
LOVE OF HIS COUNTRY.
THREE WEEKS AFTER HE'S RELEASED,
HE AND FIVE OTHERS COMMIT A
MURDER.
A MURDER OF A 17-YEAR-OLD MALE.
WE DEFINITELY NEED TO START
WORKING TOGETHER TO AVOID THESE
TRAGEDIES.
WE LEARNED OF THIS THROUGH U.S.
ATTORNEY HALEY.
THE AFFIDAVIT AND ARREST WARRANT
WAS PUBLICIZED.
ABOUT HOW THEY BRUTALLY ATTACKED
THIS KID, STUCK HIM LIKE A COW.
AND RAVED AT HIM WHILE HE WAS
DYING.
AND THEN ON A FEDERAL WIRETAP,
AS WELL, BRAGGED ABOUT HOW THEY
DUPED THE ATTORNEYS AND THE
JUDGE INTO THINKING THEY WERE
GOOD KIDS.
THE ONLY WAY TO COMBAT THAT IS
TO WORK TOGETHER TO ENSURE THAT
OUR DATABASES AND OUR INTEL ARE
AT SUCH A LEVEL THAT NOT EVEN A
JUDGE WOULD QUESTION THEM.
BUT THAT CAN ONLY BE DONE
TOGETHER.

SO WE CAN AVOID ANY TRAGEDIES
LIKE THAT.

THAT WAS JUST A TRAGEDY.

>> THANK YOU.

AND THEN, PARTICULAR TO MY
EXPERIENCE AT EAST BOSTON HIGH
SCHOOL, MOST PEOPLE KNOW I
TAUGHT THERE FOR 13 YEARS, AND
I...

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE.

>> THAT'S A TOUGH JOB.

>> THE BOSTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS
POLICE OFFICERS THAT WERE NAMED
IN SOME OF THAT INFORMATION ARE
PEOPLE THAT I WORK WITH FOR
ALMOST ALL OF MY YEARS AT
SERVICE IN EAST BOSTON HIGH AND
SOME OF THE MOST DEDICATED,
PASSIONATE ABOUT THEIR WORK AND
SERVING THE KIDS IN THAT
BUILDING AND REALLY FOR ME AS A
TEACHER IN THAT BUILDING WERE
PEOPLE THAT INDIVIDUALS THAT I
RELIED ON TO SUPPORT ME AS A
TEACHER AND THAT OUR KIDS RELIED
ON EVERY SINGLE DAY.

SO I WANT TO GO ON THE RECORD IN
SUPPORT OF THOSE SCHOOL POLICE
OFFICERS BECAUSE THEY ARE --

>> IT'S A TOUGH JOB.

>> -- TREMENDOUS ASSETS TO THAT
SCHOOL COMMUNITY AT LARGE.

>> I LOOK FORWARD TO SHARING
THAT INCIDENT REPORT DOCUMENTED
BY THE SCHOOL POLICE WITH YOU AS
WELL, SO HOPEFULLY WE CAN BRING
SOME CLARITY TO THE QUESTION AND
WORK ON HOW TO PROCEED FORWARD.

>> I DON'T THINK THAT'S IN OUR
PACKET.

THAT'S NOT THE SCHOOL POLICE
OFFICER REPORT, BECAUSE ONE THAT
I DID SEE MAYBE LAST YEAR, A
YEAR AND A HALF AGO --

>> I WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S THE
SAME REPORT, BUT I'D BE HAPPY TO
MEET WITH YOU AND COUNCILOR
EDWARDS, COMPARE WHAT REPORTS WE
HAVE, AND WE CAN MAKE SURE THAT
WE'RE CLEAR ON HOW TO PROCEED IN
THE FUTURE.

>> MY ONLY SORT OF FINAL CLOSING
COMMENT IS AS WE LOOK TO REKNEW
THE TRUST ACT AND MAKE SURE IT'S

BEING APPLIED EVENLY ACROSS OUR CITY AND THE DEPARTMENT IN PARTICULAR, THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, THAT IT'S ENCOURAGING AND MAKING AVAILABLE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT WITHIN YOUR DEPARTMENT THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE ORDINANCE OF THE TRUST ACT.

THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN.

>> THANK YOU BOTH FOR BEING HERE.

>> I HAVE A COUPLE FINAL QUESTIONS AND THEN WE DO HAVE OTHER FOLKS WHO ARE GOING TO SPEAK ON THE SECOND PANEL AFTER YOU GENTLEMAN.

>> WE'LL MAKE ROOM.

>> SO I WILL BE QUICK.

I KNOW THAT THIS IS OBVIOUSLY A VERY DETAIL-ORIENTED ISSUE, BOTH THIS SPECIFIC INCIDENT AND BROADER, SO IT'S EASY FOR US TO GET INTO THE WEEDS ON THIS. THERE ARE A COUPLE THINGS, FOR THIS BODY I THINK TO ASSESS HOW THE TRUST ACT HAS BEEN WORKING, WHEN IT SHOULD BE HAPPENING, YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT WHEN THIS INCIDENT HAPPENED IN 2017. IT ONLY CAME TO OUR ATTENTION, YOU KNOW, THROUGH PRESS REPORTS AND INFORMATION THAT MY OFFICE HAS BEEN REQUESTING THROUGH THE MAYOR'S OFFICE, THROUGH YOUR DEPARTMENT REGARDING COOPERATION WITH ICE OR COMMUNICATIONS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ICE FROM B.P.D. WOULD BE HELPFUL IN US FIGURING OUT WHAT THE BEST PATH FORWARD IS.

WE HAVE ASKED FOR THIS AND WE'RE FORMALLY ASKING FOR IT AT THE COUNCIL MEETING.

>> YOU MADE A REQUEST JUST YESTERDAY, RIGHT?

>> NO.

>> WELL OVER A WEEK AGO, AND WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO REACH OUT. SO WE'LL DO THIS.

WE CAN CONTINUE DOING THIS BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IN EVERYONE'S INTEREST TO FIND THE BEST WAY FORWARD, WHICH WE AGREE

ON.

ONE QUESTION I DO HAVE ABOUT MOVING FORWARD, YOU KNOW, CALIFORNIA HAS A STATEWIDE, THEY CALL IT A SANCTUARY BILL, SIMILAR TO I THINK OUR SAFE COMMUNITIES ACT HERE OR PROPOSED SAFE COMMUNITIES ACT IN MASSACHUSETTS.

AND ONE ASPECT OF IT PROHIBITS LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, INCLUDING ALL CALIFORNIA LAW ENFORCEMENT FROM PROVIDING INFORMATION REGARDING A PERSON'S RELEASE DATED OR RESPONDING TO REQUESTS FOR NOTIFICATION OF RELEASE DATES FROM ICE.

I THINK THAT'S SOMEWHAT APROPOS TO OUR DISCUSSION OF NOT PROACTIVELY SHARING INFORMATION WITH IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT.

IS THAT SOMETHING THAT --

>> DEFINE "RELEASE DATED."

>> THIS WAS RELEASE DATE WHEN PEOPLE ARE BEING RELEASED FROM CUSTODY.

I THINK IT IS SOMEWHAT RELATIVE TO THIS DISCUSSION ABOUT COMMUNICATING DIRECTLY WITH CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, INFORMATION ABOUT THE WHEREABOUTS OF PEOPLE GOING WHO ARE GOING TO BE ARRESTED FOR CIVIL IMMIGRATION VIOLATION, NOT FOR CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS.

>> WE CAN DEFINITELY TALK ABOUT THAT.

THAT WILL LEND A LOT OF CLARITY.

>> I AGREE.

>> AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE WANT TO SEE HERE.

AND I DO APPRECIATE YOU AND SERGEANT DETECTIVE GALLAGHER'S ANSWERING AND ELIMINATING A LOT OF THESE ISSUES FOR US.

I KNOW WE'LL HEAR FROM SOME OTHER FOLKS IN THE COMMUNITY AFTER THIS, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING THIS CONVERSATION AND MAKING SURE THAT THIS ACT IS AS STRONG AND BOSTON CONTINUES TO BE AS STRONG AS WE CAN.

>> I THINK THIS SHOULD BE A

UNIFIED FRONT, BECAUSE THERE ARE PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY, BELIEVE ME, THAT THINK THAT THIS SHOULD BE FAIR FOR EVERYONE.

AND IF YOU'RE OF CRIMINAL INTENT, YOU SHOULD NOT GET A BREAK IF YOU SAY, HEY --

>> I DON'T THINK --

>> I'M TELLING YOU, ALL OF THE NEWSPAPERS AND WHAT'S COME ABOUT, THERE ARE QUESTIONS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

I'M VERY BLUNT.

I TELL IT LIKE IT IS.

SO WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO, BECAUSE THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE WHO NEED THEIR TRUST RESTORED, AS WELL, THAT A PERSON THAT AN IMMIGRATION JUDGE LETS GO GOES AND MURDERS A 17-YEAR-OLD KID OR OTHER PEOPLE THAT ARE TRYING TO RECRUIT KIDS INTO GANGS WILL NOT GET CUT A BREAK BECAUSE THEY CLAIM, HEY, YOU CAN'T CHECK ON ME BECAUSE YOU'RE GOING TO BE DEEMED AS GOING AGAINST THE TRUST ACT.

>> COMMISSIONER, I HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THE MEN AND WOMEN THAT WORK IN THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT WE CAN KEEP THE CITY-STATE THROUGH OUR STATE LAWS AND CITY ORDINANCES.

>> BUT WE NEED TO EDUCATE AS A UNIFIED FRONT.

>> ABSOLUTELY.

COUNCILOR EDWARDS HAS ONE LAST QUESTION.

>> IT'S JUST... I APPRECIATE YOU BRINGING UP THE A.G.'S INVESTIGATION.

THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR HAS ACTUALLY JUST SUED DIRECTLY AGAINST THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY ALLEGING RETALIATION, THAT THEY USED LAW ENFORCEMENT TO SPECIFICALLY INTIMIDATE A WORKER.

>> GOOD TO KNOW IF THEY EVER TRY TO CALL US AGAIN.

>> THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY? YEAH.

BUT ALSO IN GENERAL, WHAT I THINK IS REALLY PARENT IS THAT I

WANT THAT OUT FOR THE RECORD,
THEY ARE BEING SUED.zS#H&C @&C
UNDER THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION
IS GOING AFTER THE COMPANY FOR
RETLIATING AGAINST A WORKER AND
USING I THINK CITY RESOURCES
UNWISELY AND IN A XENOPHOBIC WAY
TO INTIMIDATE AN INGRAND WORKER.
I'M HAPPY THEY'RE DOING THAT.

BUT ONE FURTHER CONVERSATION WE
SHOULD HAVE IS WHEN YOU DO FIND
YOU HAVE BEEN USED IN SUCH A WAY
AND WHEN THIS FINDING COMES OUT
THAT YOU WERE USED, HOW DO WE
COMPENSATE THE CITY OF BOSTON
AND TIME AND POLICE DEPARTMENT,
EITHER BY YOU SUEING TARA
CONSTRUCTION OR FIGURING OUT A
WAY THERE ARE CRIMINAL CHARGES
TO BE USED AGAINST THE
INDIVIDUAL THAT WOULD ABUSE THIS
PROCESS.

I KNOW FILING A FALSE REPORT...

>> IF THEY'RE FOUND GUILTY, DUE
PROCESS, THEN, YEAH, THEY SHOULD
COMPENSATE THE CITY.

>> I WOULD LIKE THE FIGURE OUT
HOW WE GET THEM BACK --

>> THAT WILL SEND A MESSAGE THAT
ANYONE ELSE LOOKING TO DO
LIKEWISE, GUESS, WHAT IT'S NOT
GOING TO HAPPEN.

>> YEAH.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, GENTLEMAN.

>> HAVE A GREAT DAY.

>> THANK YOU.

>> AND AS SERGEANT DETECTIVE
GALLAGHER AND COMMISSIONER GROSS
ARE LEAVING THE PODIUM, WE HAVE
OUR NEXT PANEL OF
REPRESENTATIVES, KAREN CHEN,
FROM THE IMMIGRANT WORKER CENTER
COLLABORATIVE, THE A.C.L.U.,
MR. JOSE MARTIN FLORES, AND
DIEGO LOWE, WHO WILL BE I
BELIEVE PROVIDING
INTERPRETATION.

IF YOU FOLKS WOULD LIKE TO COME
UP TO THE FOREFRONT CHAIRS,
WE'LL GET STARTED IN JUST A
SECOND.

>> THE FOUR INDIVIDUAL, HOWEVER

YOU CHOOSE TO ORDER YOURSELF AT THESE FOUR SEATS.

AS WE'RE SWITCHING OVER PANEL, I WANT TO RECOGNIZE OUR OTHER COLLEAGUES COUNCILOR O'MALLEY AND CAMPBELL AS WELL AS FLYNN AND JANEY, WHO DID HAVE A CONFLICT, BUT MAY BE REJOINING US.

SO YOU FOLKS JOINING US, I DON'T KNOW... IS THERE A PARTICULAR ORDER YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK IN >> MY NAME IS AUDREY RICHARDSON. I'M LAWYER AT GRANTER BOSTON LEGAL SERVICES.

I'M EXPLAINING MY ROLE HERE. IT WAS NOT THE ORIGINAL INTENT FOR ME TO SPEAK ON THIS PANEL. OBVIOUSLY THERE ARE A COUPLE FOLKS THAT YOU'RE HERE TO HEAR FROM AND VERY IMPORTANTLY, MR. PAS FLORES AND HIS WIFE ROSA, BUT WE HAVE A SLIGHT REQUEST TO CHANGE PLANS JUST EVER SO BRIEFLY BECAUSE OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WERE RAISED JUST IN THIS PAST SEVERAL MINUTES HERE THAT JUST NEED TO BE QUICKLY ADDRESSED AND THAT AS HIS LAWYER, I CAN SPEAK TO DIRECTLY AND SHARE A BIT OF INFORMATION ON THAT THE COMMISSIONER DID NOT FEEL THAT HE COULD SHARE.

>> GREAT.

>> I WILL SPEAK FOR MYSELF THAT I AM REALLY DISAPPOINTED NOT TO HAVE HEARD SOME ACKNOWLEDGMENT THAT THERE COULD HAVE BEEN ANY SORT OF MISTAKE

ERROR HERE.

IT IS ALSO VERY DISAPPOINTING, THE IMPLIED JUSTIFICATION BY REFERENCE TO MR. PAZ FLORES IS CORI, WHICH OUT OF CONCERN FOR HIS PRIVACY COULDN'T BE SHARED FURTHER, BUT CLEARLY IMPLYING THERE WERE THINGS THAT THERE THAT JUSTIFIED WHAT HAPPENED HERE.

AND AS HIS LAWYER, SO MY OFFICIAL ROLE HERE IS ACTUALLY TO REPRESENT HIM AS A WITNESS IN THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR'S

CASE, BUT IN THAT ROLE, I HAVE BEEN IN CLOSE CONTACT AND I HAVE ACCESS TO THE DOCUMENTS BOTH FROM HIS WORKERS COMPLICATION AND HIS IMMIGRATION CASE. AND I HAVE REVIEWED THOSE CAREFULLY.

I REVIEWED ALL THE CRIMINAL RECORDS THAT EXIST FROM EITHER MASSACHUSETTS OR FLORIDA.

MIPj REVIEWED HIS CORI.

I REVIEWED HIS F.B.I. BACKGROUND CHECK.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT WITH HIS PERMISSION AND WITH THE PERMISSION OF HIS IMMIGRATION LAWYER THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A CRIMINAL ARREST WARRANT AT ANY POINT.

HE DOES HAVE AN IMMIGRATION DEPORTATION ORDER FROM 2002.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT HE HAS NEVER HAD ANY CRIMINAL CONVICTION OTHER THAN OF MINOR MOTOR VEHICLE-RELATED ISSUE FROM FLORIDA IN 2006.

AND THAT APART FROM THAT THERE HAVE BEEN NO CRIMINAL CHARGES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN PROMLY DISMISSED OR DROPPED AND ALTHOUGH THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT GO WAY BACK, THEY WERE DISMISSED AND DROPPED AND THOSE ARE FROM 2006 OR EARLIER. APART FROM AGAIN A MINOR MOTOR VEHICLE CHARGE FROM 2010 THAT ALSO WAS DISMISSED.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I JUST GIVEN THE NATURE OF THE ALLUSION TO HIS CRIMINAL RECORD, WE JUST FELT THAT IT WAS REALLY IMPORTANT TO CLARIFY THAT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> AND THEN JUST VERY BRIEFLY ON THE IDENTITY ISSUE, HIS FULL NAME IS JOSE MARTIN PAZ FLORES. I THINK WE ALL KNOW THAT THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE WHO USE VARIATIONS OF THEIR NAMES. THERE ARE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM THAT GO BY VARIATIONS OF THEIR NAMES. IN THE SPANISH-SPEAKING WORLD,

PEOPLE HAVE LONG NAMES WITH MULTIPLE PARTS.

I THINK...

>> I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY QUESTION ON THIS SIDE OF THE TABLE ABOUT THAT.

>> OKAY.

>> TO BE QUITE HONEST, THAT'S AS IT WAS REPORTED IN THE PRESS, WHICH, YOU KNOW, WHICH IS ALSO NOT THE CRUX OF THE ISSUE HERE ANYWAY, BUT I DO APPRECIATE THE CLARITY ON IT.

>> RIGHT, AND I APPRECIATED THAT YOU APPRECIATE THAT IT'S NOT THE CRUX OF THE ISSUE, BUT GIVEN THE ALLUSION THAT WAS MADE AND THE FAILURE TO PROVIDE ANY CONCRETE INFORMATION AND I THINK THIS WAY THAT COULD BE PERCEIVED, I FELT WE NEEDED TO VERIFY THAT.

>> I THINK COUNCILOR EDWARDS COMMENTED ON THE FORMER EMPLOYER AND THEIR MISDEEDS AND THE FACT THAT, YOU KNOW, WE'RE HERE TALKING ABOUT HOW WRH%<-WE ARE ABOUT THE PRESIDENT TRUMP ADMINISTRATION'S IMMIGRATION EASTBOUND FORM AND HERE WE ARE RELYING ON THEIR LABOR DEPARTMENT TO DO SOMETHING IN THIS CASE.

THAT REALLY BROUGHT THIS TO LIGHT.

IT'S JUST AN UNUSUAL SITUATION. IT'S VERY TROUBLING I THINK FOR THE CITY OF BOSTON.

OBVIOUSLY WE SPENT A LOT OF TIME WITH COMMISSIONER GROSS AND HIS TEAM TALKING ABOUT THEIR PROCEDURES AND WHAT THEY FEEL CAN AND SHOULD BE DONE.

WE'LL CONTINUE DOING, THAT BUT FOR THIS PANEL, CLARIFYING SOME OF THE EARLIER COMMENTS IS HELPFUL HEARING THE INDIVIDUAL STORY AND HOW THAT HAS IMPACTED MR. PAZ FLORES AND ALSO SOME OTHER ADVOCATES HERE HOW THIS BODY BOTH FROM, FOR LACK OF A BETTER WORD, PUBLIC RELATIONS STANDPOINT CAN MAKE SURE THAT THE TRUST ACT AND ITS INTENT AND EFFECT HAVE THE

SPIRIT THAT WE WANT TO SEE IN THIS CITY, BUT ALSO WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO STRENGTHEN IT FROM A 2EBG CAL PERSPECTIVE, FROM LEGISLATION, FROM ORDERS, WHETHER IT'S THROUGH THE MAYOR'S OR THE POLICE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO BE DOING TO MAKE SURE WE ADDRESS THESE ISSUES.

>> I JUST WANTED TO BRIEFLY SAY, I'M GOING TO HAVE THE LEAVE EARLY, WHICH IS IN NO WAY, SHAPE, OR FORM MEEK IAGE NOT INTERESTED IN WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY.

IS IT BECAUSE OF BACK-TO-BACK MEETINGS THAT ARE HAPPENING, BUT I WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE MY FORMER COLLEAGUE, AUDREY, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR STEPPING UP AND LEGAL SERVICES IN GENERAL.

THAT'S WHERE I ALSO CUT MY TEETH AND LEARNED HOW THE REPRESENT THESE WORKERS AT GREATER BOSTON LEGAL SERVICES, AND TO MY FORMER CLASS MATED AT WASHINGTON COLLEGE OF LAW AND AMERICAN UNIVERSITY, LAURA AND I GRADUATED FROM THE SAME LAW SCHOOL.

IT'S AWESOME TO BE IN THIS POSITION TO HAVE YOU HERE AT THE CITY COUNCIL.

SO OF COURSE, DEA GO AND KAREN, WE HAVE BEEN IN THE TRENCHES FOR SOME TIME.

SO I HAD TO SAY THAT.

I'M GOING TO LISTEN AND THEN I'M GOING TO STEP OUT.

>> I ALSO WANT TO RECOGNIZE COUNCILOR FLYNN AND COUNCILOR CAMPBELL HAVE JOINED US, AS WELL.

SO I WILL TURN IT OVER IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK, HOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO COMMUNICATE YOUR STORY AND THEN MOVE DOWN THE LINE.

[SPEAKING SPANISH]

>> (\TRANSLATED\): GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS MARTIN PAZ FLORES. I AM THE FATHER OF FIVE CHILDREN

AND MY WIFE WHO IS BY MY SIDE.
I IMMIGRATED HERE FROM MY
COUNTRY ABOUT APPROXIMATELY 20
YEARS AGO, FROM MY COUNTRY
HONDURAS.

AFTER MY YOUNGER BROTHER WAS
ASSASSINATED.

I DECIDED TO MIGRATE TO THIS
COUNTRY, WHICH OFFERS SO MANY
NEW BEGINNINGS.

I LIVED FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS IN
FLORIDA.

BUT I WAS INVITED TO WORK HERE
IN MASSACHUSETTS.

A WORK OPPORTUNITY PRESENTED
ITSELF.

FOR BETTER OPPORTUNITIES IN
LIFE.

I WAS ABLE TO BECOME A MEMBER OF
LOCAL 35 IN CONSTRUCTION.

I WORKED A LOT TO SUPPORT MY
FAMILY, BUT THE LEVEL OF WORK
OFFERED WAS DIMINISHED.

AN OPPORTUNITY PRESENTED ITSELF
TO WORK AT ANOTHER COMPANY, AND
THERE I SUFFERED AN INJURY AT
WORK.

I WAS INJURED AND WAS TAKEN TO
THE HOSPITAL.

>> THE FOLLOWING DAY I WAS
OPERATED ON FOR THE FRACTURE OF
MY LEFT LEG.

THAT BROUGHT ON ANOTHER LEVEL OF
SUFFERING WITH MY FAMILY.

BOTH ECONOMICALLY AND
PSYCHOLOGICALLY.

AT SOME POINT I DIDN'T ANY
LONGER HAVE AN ABILITY TO
SUSTAIN MY FAMILY, TO SUPPORT MY
FAMILY.

AND THE REASON FOR THAT WAS THAT
THE COMPANY HAD NOT HAD THE
WORKER'S COMP INSURANCE.

AFTER ABOUT TWO MONTHS OF HAVING
NO ECONOMIC INCOME, MY EMPLOYER
ASKED ME TO COME TO HIS OFFICE
WITH THE OFFER OF SOME FINANCIAL
SUPPORT SUPPOSEDLY.

AS I LEFT HIS OFFICE, I WAS
ARRESTED BY IMMIGRATION
OFFICERS.

I EXREPUBLICANNED TO THEM THAT
THAT -- EXPLAINED THAT I WAS
COMING FROM MY EMPLOYERS OFFICE

WHO HAD OFFERED ME ECONOMIC SUPPORT BECAUSE I HAD BROKEN MY LEG.

BUT THAT WAS NOT OF CONCERN TO THEM, AND SO DESPITE BEING ACCOMPANIED BY MY TWO-YEAR-OLD SON AND A FRIEND WHO HAD GIVEN US A RIDE.

SO AT THAT POINT THEY ARRESTED ME, BUT IT WAS IN THE AT ALL CLEAR WHAT TO DO WITH MY TWO-YEAR-OLD SON.

>> I CALLED MY WIFE WHO WAS RUNNING ERRANDS AND WE SPOKE, BUT WE REALLY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO DO.

OR WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO MY FAMILY BECAUSE THE WHOLE FAMILY DEPENDS ON MY INCOME, DEPENDED AND DEPENDS ON MY INCOME.

THE NEXT MORNING I WAS TAKEN TO THE ICE OFFICE IN BURLINGTON ON CRUTCHES, HANDCUFFED TO MY CRUTCHES AND MANACLES AT THE ANKLES.

WHICH LED ME THE FALL AS I WAS GETTING INTO THE VAN.

WHEN I SLIPPED.

THEY SAID THAT THAT WAS THEIR PROCEDURE.

I NEEDED MEDICINE THAT I HAD TROUBLE GETTING.

SINCE THAT MOMENT MY LIFE HAS BEEN DIFFICULT IN EVERY SENSE.

MY DAUGHTER ACTUALLY WROTE A STORY OF HER EXPERIENCE WITH THIS, AND THAT WAS PUBLISHED IN THIS BOOK.

>> SHE EXPRESSED THE PAIN AND THE SUFFERING THAT WE HAVE GONE THROUGH.

THAT SHE HAS GONE THROUGH.

>> (\TRANSLATED\): I HAVE DEDICATED MYSELF IN MY YEARS IN THIS COUNTRY TO MAKE MY FAMILY FAMILY AND TO CONTRIBUTE.

AND TO STRUGGLE FOR THEM.

THANK YOU FOR HEARING MY STORY. IT'S HARD.

>> THANK YOU FOR SHARING THAT. GRACIAS.

IT'S WHY WE'RE HERE.

IT'S WHY IT'S SO IMPORTANT THAT CITIES LIKE BOSTON I THINK DO

WHATEVER WE CAN TO STAND UP TO THESE UN-AMERICAN AND INHUMAN POLICIES THAT ARE BEING ENACTED BY THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION, SO I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO HEAR FROM SOME OTHER FOLKS, AND I'LL RESERVE MY COMMENTS, BUT THANK YOU FOR SHARING YOUR STORY AND FOR BEING HERE AND FOR STANDING UP FOR YOURSELF, YOUR FAMILY, AND SO MANY PEOPLE IN SIMILAR SITUATIONS.cúk!

>> (\TRANSLATED\): MY NAME IS ROSA BEN BENITEZ.

I'M THE WIFE OF MARTIN PAZ. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO SPEAK.

WE'RE HERE TO SPEAK FOR OURSELVES BUT FOR OTHERS WHO ARE FACING THE SAME SITUATION.

>> I'M REFERRING TO THE ARREST OF MY HUSBAND, FATHER OF MY FIVE CHILDREN.

>> (\TRANSLATED\): IF EXPERIENCE WE WENT THROUGH, I WENT THROUGH, I WOULDN'T WISH ON ANYONE.

I REMEMBER GETTING A CALL WHEN HE WAS ARRESTED.

I WAS IN THE PRIMARK STORE. HE TOLL ME THAT HE HAD GONE TO HIS EMPLOYER'S OFFICE TO COLLECT MONEY THAT WE NEEDED TO SUPPORT OUR CHILDREN.

HE TOLD ME THAT... HE SAID, "LOOK, I'VE BEEN STOPPED BY OFFICERS.

I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHO."

AND I ANSWERED TO HIM, "BUT HOW IS THAT POSSIBLE?

YOU'RE ON CRUTCHES."

AND HE SAID, "BUT THEY ARE GOING TO DETAIN ME.

I NEED YOU TO COME AND COLLECT OUR KID.

" AND I SAID, "BUT HOW?

I COULDN'T UNDERSTAND IN THE AGONY OF THAT MOMENT HOW I WOULD FIND HIM TO COLLECT MY TWO YEAR OLD.

I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO TELL MY DAUGHTERS THAT THEIR FATHER HAD BEEN DETAINED.

AND WE WERE SWAMPED IN THAT MOMENT WITH FEAR, AND WHEN!

PEOPLE WOULD COME TO THE DOOR,.
WE'RE HERE AS HUMAN BEINGS TO
ASK THAT THE POLICE NOT GET
ENGAGED WITH THESE IMMIGRATION
ISSUES.
THANK YOU.
>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.