; 11/29/18 12:31 AM ; ;;;;Boston City Council A 181129

>> COUNCILLOR WU IS THE SPONSOR OF THIS.

I ALSO WANT TO REMIND YOU THAT THIS PUBLIC HEARING IS BEING RECORDED IN BROADCAST LIVE ON CHANNELS COMCAST 8, RCN 82 AND VERIZON 1964 AND WEBCAST ON BOSTON.GOV/CITYCOUNTRY/TV. PLEASE SILENCE YOUR CELL PHONES AND OTHER DEVICES.

WE WILL ALSO TAKE PUBLIC TESTIMONY AND WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF YOU SIGN IN TO TESTIFY. AT THE START OF THE TESTIMONY,

PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND AFFILIATION.

TODAY'S HEARING IS ON DOCKET 1420.

THIS MATTER IS SPONSORED BY COUNCILLOR MICHELLE WU AND REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION.
IT'S AN ORDER FOR A HEARING

INVOLVING IMPLEMENTATION, A ONE-CARD MUNICIPAL IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM IN THE CITY OF BOSTON.

I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR WU ON THIS HEARING AND MAYOR WALSH FOR HIS LEADERSHIP.

I LOOK FORWARD TO THIS CONVERSATION TODAY REGARDING THE MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAM AND WHAT STEPS WE NEED TO LOOK AT MOVING FORWARD.

A CITY OF BOSTON STUDY INDICATED THAT MORE THAN 140,000 OF OUR RESIDENTS ENCOUNTER DIFFICULTIES DUE TO LACK OF IDENTIFICATION. 1/5 OF OUR RESIDENTS MAY HAVE TROUBLE OPENING AN ACCOUNT AT A FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, CASHING A CHECK, USING A CREDIT CARD A PLYING FOR A JOB, ASSESSING A BUILDING.

THIS ISSUE HAS A SIGNIFICANT

IMPACT ALSO ON OUR HOMELESS COMMUNITY, TRANS-GENDER COMMUNITY AND IMMIGRANT

COMMUNITY.

THERE MAY BE INCENTIVES OR BENEFITS THAT COME WITH HAVING A MUNICIPAL I.D., AS ALWAYS THE

ABILITY TO STREAMLINE SERVICES

EFFICIENTLY.

MOREOVER, OTHER CITIES HAVE

IMPLEMENTED THIS SUCH AS

NEW HAVEN, SAN FRANCISCO, NEW YORK AND WASHINGTON HAVE

SIMILAR PROGRAMS.

I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE

CONCERNS ABOUT MISUSE AS WELL AS

VARIOUS OFFICIALS HAVING ACCESS

TO PARTS OF THE DATA FROM THE CARD THAT MAY NOT BE RELEVANT.

AGAIN, I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING

FROM YOU ON HOW WE CAN WORK ON

THIS ISSUE TOGETHER.

AT THIS TIME, I WOULD LIKE TO

ASK THE COUNCILLORS PRESENT IF

THEY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AN

OPENING STATEMENT BEGINNING WITH

COUNCILLOR WU.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

I REALLY APPRECIATE YOU MAKING

TIME FOR THIS HEARING.

WE HAVE JAM PACKED COUNCILLOR

CALENDAR, SO I KNOW, FOR

EXAMPLE, CHIEF MARTY MARTINEZ

WANTED TO BE HERE BUT HAD A TIME

CONFLICT AND OTHERS.

SO I APPRECIATE YOU STEPPING IN

AND SHARING THE DETAILED

KNOWLEDGE OF THE FEASIBILITY

STUDY RESULTS.

AGAIN, I WANTED TO SEEK AN

UPDATE ON THE PROCESS BECAUSE

THE COUNCIL AND THE

ADMINISTRATION HAVE BEEN HAVING

THIS CONVERSATION FOR OVER THREE

YEARS NOW.

THE DYNAMIC OBVIOUSLY HAS

CHANGED WITH THE FEDERAL

ELECTION IN BETWEEN.

BUT THE ORIGINAL INTENT REMAINS

THE SAME, TO MAKE SURE THE CITY

OF BOSTON IS AS WELCOMING AND

INCLUSIVE A CITY AS POSSIBLE FOR

RESIDENTS OF ANY BACKGROUND AND

HAVING SEEN THAT IN OTHER

CITIES, A MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAM HELPS REDUCE AND ELIMINATE THAT GAP, THE BARRIER FOR COMMUNITIES WHO ARE -- WHO FIND IT DIFFICULT TO ACCESS FORMAL GOVERNMENT ISSUED I.D., PARTICULARLY WHEN IT COMES TO HOUSING STATUS AND THOSE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS WHEN IT COMES TO IMMIGRATION STATUS AND THOSE WHO MAY BE UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS. WHEN IT COMES TO GENDER IDENTITY AND OUR NEIGHBORS AND FRIENDS AND RESIDENTS IN THE TRANS COMMUNITY. BECAUSE OF THE NATIONAL POLITICAL CONTEXT, ANY PROGRAM

POLITICAL CONTEXT, ANY PROGRAM LIKE THIS WHICH SEEKS TO ELIMINATE SOME OF THE BARRIERS MUST IN ITS FIRST MOST IMPORTANT STEP MAKE SURE THAT IT IS SECURE AND SAFE AND NOT AT ALL HARMING AND PUTTING AT RISK SOME OF THE RESIDENTS THAT OUR COMMUNITIES THAT I MENTIONED.

WE HAVE SEEN OTHER CITIES DO THIS WHEN IT'S SOLD AS A CULTURAL AMENITY AND CONVENIENCE FOR PEOPLE.

THAT ONE CARD GETS YOU A YEAR'S MEMBERSHIP AT THE MUSEUM OR THE AQUARIUM OR BIKE SHARE SYSTEM. WHEN IT ALSO IS YOUR TAP CARD FOR THE MBTA AND THE LIBRARY CARD AND YOUR STUDENT I.D. SO I'M GRATEFUL TO THE ADMINISTRATION FOR TAKING IT THIS FAR, HAVING ALLOCATED FUNDING FOR THE FEASIBILITY STUDY AND NOW COMPLETED IT AND REPORTING BACK TO US.

LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING MORE ABOUT WHAT THE FINDINGS ARE. THANK YOU TO MR. CHAIRMAN AND ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES FOR BEING HERE.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WU.
I'D LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE CITY
COUNCIL McCARTHY AS PRESENT AND
COUNCILLOR CIOMMO, WOULD YOU
LIKE TO PROVIDE AN OPENING
STATEMENT?
I DIDN'T SEE HIM.
OKAY.

>> I'M OLDER. [LAUGHTER] NO, I THINK THIS IS -- THE TIME HAS COME TO LOOK AT THIS. BUT I'M ALWAYS GOING TO ASK THE **OUESTIONS ABOUT THE FINANCIAL** IMPLICATIONS OF IMPLEMENTING THESE PROGRAMS AND THE OVERSIGHT AND SUCH. ISSUES IDENTIFICATION CARDS AND

YOU KNOW, THE STATE OBVIOUSLY

THAT'S PART OF WHAT THEY DO.

THIS WOULD BE A WHOLE NEW

SERVICE THAT WE PROVIDE.

I THINK IT'S WORTHY THAT WE DO

GIVE OUT LIBRARY CARDS.

WE NEED TO ALWAYS BE MINDFUL OF

THE COST TO THE CITY, TO THE

TREASURY, TO THE TAXPAYERS.

WHEN WE IMPLEMENT THINGS LIKE

THIS, SOMETIMES IT MAY BE AT THE

COST OF OTHER SERVICES AND

PROGRAMS TO THE CITY.

I LOOK FORWARD TO THE

CONVERSATION.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR CIOMMO.

COUNCILLOR ZAKIM?

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR WU FOR CONTINUING HER REFERENCE ON

THIS.

I'M SURPRISED -- NOT THAT

SURPRISED BUT INTERESTING TO SEE

HOW LONG THIS DISCUSSION HAS

BEEN ONGOING AND IT'S IMPORTANT

THAT WE KEEP DOING IT AND

ACKNOWLEDGING THE POLITICAL

REALITIES THAT HAVE SHIFTED ON A

NATIONAL SCALE.

IT'S IMPORTANT.

I'M INTERESTED IN HEARING -- I

BELIEVE NEW YORK AND WE HAD A

REMOTE TESTIMONY FROM THE

INDIVIDUAL WHO RUNS NEW YORK'S

PROGRAM TWO YEARS AGO IN THIS

CHAMBER, HOW THEY HAVE ADDRESSED

ISSUES AROUND PRIVACY, WORKING

WITH MASS DOT.

I KNOW THEY HAVE AN ISSUE THAT

NEEDS TO BE RESOLVED BY THE

GOVERNOR AROUND ISSUING DRIVERS

LICENSES AND IDENTIFICATION TO

OTHER UNDOCUMENTED PEOPLE.

ANY CARD THE CITY OF BOSTON HAS,

THERE'S NO REASON THEY COULDN'T HAVE A T PASS ON IT, NO REASON IT COULDN'T BE A LIBRARY CARD OR ANYBODY ELSE THE CITY DOES. I'M INTERESTED IN SEEING HOW WE CAN DO THAT.

I KNOW THAT THERE ARE OTHER CITIES THAT HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH OFFICIALS, CAMBRIDGE AND THE IMMEDIATE AREA THAT WOULD BE INTERESTING IN PARTNERING WITH US ON THISSED TO A DRESS SOME CONCERNS PERHAPS AROUND COST SAVINGS AND ECONOMIES OF SCALE. I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ADDRESSING THAT.

WE ARE ALSO DOING EVERYTHING WE CAN TO MAKE THIS IDEA STRONG AS IT CAN SO IT'S VALID FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE, YOU KNOW, TRYING TO ENTER BUILDINGS OR FOR BANKING PURPOSES OR THINGS THAT WE NEED TO DO.

OBVIOUSLY THE CITY'S AUTHORITY MAY BE LIMITED ON THAT, BUT WE NEED TO MAKE IT AS USEFUL AS POSSIBLE.

WE NEED TO DO THIS.

CERTAINLY THE STATE IS NOT

MOVING ON THIS IN MASSACHUSETTS

AND OBVIOUSLY THE FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT IS NOT DOING ANYTHING

CONSTRUCTIVE ON THIS FRONT

WHATSOEVER OR ANY FRONT

WHATSOEVER THESE DAYS.

LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING WHERE

WE ARE ON THIS AND THANK YOU FOR

THIS AND THANK YOU,

MR. CHAIRMAN, FOR SCHEDULING THIS AND FITTING IN WITH OUR

CRAMMED AGENDA THESE DAYS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ZAKIM.

COUNCILLOR McCARTHY.

AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE ANDRE LIMA, DIRECTOR OF POLICY AND RESEARCH, MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.

MR. LIMA, THANKS FOR BEING HERE. >> THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN FLYNN, COUNCILLOR WU, OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL.

THANKS FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ABOUT DOCKET NUMBER 1420

AND PROVIDE AN UPDATE ON THE MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAM, SPECIFICALLY THE MUNICIPAL I.D. FEASIBILITY STUDY. AGAIN, MY NAME IS ANDRE LIMA FOR THE MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AND HERE TO

TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF MARTY

MARTINEZ.

COUNCILLORS FLYNN AND WU DID A TREMENDOUS JOB ALREADY OF SUMMARIZING, GIVING AN OVERVIEW OF WHAT MUNICIPAL I.D. CARDS ARE, BUT I'LL JUST CONTINUE THAT PRIMER BY SAYING -- KIND OF DEFINING THE PROGRAMS FOR THE COUNCIL.

MUNICIPAL IDENTIFICATION CARD OR CARD PROGRAM IS SIMPLY A FORM OF IDENTIFICATION OR IDENTIFICATION CARD MUNICIPALED BY A MUNICIPALITY BY A CITY RATHER THAN A STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

UNDER FEDERAL LAWS CITIES CAN ISSUE THEIR OWN I.D. CARDS AND THEY DON'T HAVE TO CONSIDER IMMIGRATION STATUS OR THE CRIMINAL RECORD OF AN APPLICANT IF THEY CHOOSE NOT TO.

AS I BELIEVE COUNCILLOR ZAKIM MENTIONED OR PERHAPS COUNCILLOR WU, NEW HAVEN WAS THE FIRST TO LAUNCH A MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAM. IT'S CALLED THE ELM CITY RESIDENT CARD.

THE MOST WELL KNOWN AND LARGEST MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAM IS THE IDNYC PROGRAM IN NEW YORK CITY WHICH WAS LAUNCHED IN 2014.
MUNICIPAL I.D. CARDS USUALLY FEATURE A PHOTO AND SOME ON-CARD INFORMATION ABOUT THE CARD HOLDER.
THEIR ADDRESS NAME FOR

THEIR ADDRESS, NAME, FOR EXAMPLE.

BUT THEY ALSO AND MORE
IMPORTANTLY FACILITATE ACCESS TO
BENEFITS AND CITY SERVICES.
THEY CAN ACTIVE LIBRARY CARDS.
SOME CITIES THAT HAVE MUNICIPAL
I.D. CARDS WITH AN INDEBTED
FUNCTIONALITY.
THERE'S A MYRIAD OF DISCOUNTS TO

MUSEUMS, CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS, LOCAL BUSINESSES THAT ARE IDENTIFIED WITH THE CARD. ALL THOSE THEY'RE AVAILABLE TO ALL RESIDENTS, THE CARDS ARE PARTICULARLY VALUABLE AS COUNCILLOR WU AND MENTIONED TO UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS, PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS, YOUTH, OTHER PEOPLE THAT HAVE NOT OBTAINED IDENTIFICATION. THE CONCEPT OF A MUNICIPAL I.D IN BOSTON GREW OUT OF A COLLABORATION BETWEEN HHS AND THE MAYOR'S OFFICE. THIS IS BEFORE I STARTED IN MY ROLE AT THE CITY BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT HHS WAS INVOLVED PRIMARILY BECAUSE THE MAYOR'S OFFICE FOR IMMIGRANT ADVANCEMENT SITS WITHIN THE HHS CABINET. AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, MUNICIPAL I.D PROGRAMS CAN BE A TOOL FOR EMPOWERING IMMIGRANT COMMUNITIES, ESPECIALLY UNDOCUMENTED RESIDENTS AND PUBLIC SUPPORT FOR MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAMS IN BOSTON AS WELL AS OTHER CITIES HAVE BEEN GALVANIZED PRIMARILY BY **IMMIGRANTS SERVING ADVOCACY** ORGANIZATIONS. THE COLLABORATION BETWEEN HHS AND THE MAYOR'S OFFICE RESULTED IN \$100,000 OF CITY FUNDS BEING ALLOCATED FOR YOUTH AND FAMILIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF FURTHER EXPLORING A MUNICIPAL I.D IN BOSTON AS A CONCEPT. IT WAS ALLOCATED BY THE HHS BUDGET OF DCS BUDGET. THAT'S WHERE THE FUNDS ENDED UP. ORIGINALLY THE FUNDING -- AGAIN, THERE'S A -- COULD BE USED FOR BROAD PURPOSES. TO EXPLORE THIS CONCEPT. THE THINKING AT THE TIME, THIS IS PROBABLY SUMMER OF 2016, WAS THAT THAT \$100,000 WOULD BE USED TO PURSUE A SMALL PILOT PROGRAM. FOR EXAMPLE. THAT WOULD SORT OF TEST THE

DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND THE

INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS.

HOWEVER, IN NOVEMBER OF 2016,

DONALD TRUMP WAS ELECTED

PRESIDENT.

BECAUSE OF THE THEN

PRESIDENT-ELECT'S ANTI-IMMIGRANT

RHETORIC AND PARTICULARLY HIS

EXPRESSED INTENT TO USE THE

POWER OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH TO

PUNISH SANCTUARY CITIES OR

SANCTUARY POLICIES, THE

ADMINISTRATION FELT STRONGLY

THAT IF BOSTON WAS GOING TO

PURSUE A MUNICIPAL I.D, IT HAD

TO BE WITH THE SUPPORT OF THE

IMMIGRANT COMMUNITY AND ALSO HAD

TO BE A PROGRAM THAT WAS SAFE

AND SECURE FOR THE MOST

VULNERABLE USERS.

AT THE TIME WE HAVE TO STOP AND

ASK OURSELVES THIS QUESTION.

WHICH IS REALLY GIVEN THE

ANTI-IMMIGRANT POLICY PLATFORM

OF THIS FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION

AND AT THE TIME IT WAS

THEORETICAL AND NOW IT'S REAL.

DO IMMIGRANTS WANT TO A

MUNICIPAL I.D?

THAT QUESTION COMPRISES TWO

DIFFERENT DILEMMAS.

THE FIRST OF BEING A QUESTION OF

WHETHER OR NOT IMMIGRANT

COMMUNITIES FEEL A MUNICIPAL I.D.

PROGRAM IN BOSTON CAN BE SAFE

AND SECURE FOR UNDOCUMENTED

RESIDENTS GIVEN THE SCRUTINY

UNDER THIS ADMINISTRATION.

BUT ALSO DOES THE COMMUNITY FEEL

THAT A MUNICIPAL I.D PROGRAM IS

THE RIGHT USE OF RESOURCES NOW.

GIVEN THAT THE STRUGGLE TO

PRESERVE AND EXPAND IN SOME

CASES THE RIGHTS OF IMMIGRANTS

IN THIS COUNTRY IS BEING FOUGHT

ON SO MANY FRONTS.

SO -- AGAIN, I'LL JUST

MENTION -- I KEEP BRINGING UP

THE IMMIGRANTS.

ALTHOUGH THE ADVOCACY IS LED BY

**IMMIGRANTS SERVING** 

ORGANIZATIONS, I'LL JUST FOR THE

RECORD REMIND THAT MUNICIPAL I.D.

PROGRAMS ARE NOT EXCLUSIVELY FOR

IMMIGRANT RESIDENTS.

I'D ARGUE THAT CHARACTERIZING THEM AS SUCH OVERLOOKS THE BROAD BENEFITS.

FIRSTLY, IMMIGRANT COMMUNITIES ARE NOT THE ONLY COMMUNITIES AS COUNCILLORS WU AND FLINT FACE UNDOCUMENTATION.

OLDER ADULTS, HOMELESSNESS, YOUTH, PEOPLE THAT DON'T OWN

CARS ARE ALL MAY BE FORCED TO NAVIGATE CIVIC LIFE WITHOUT A

FORMAL GOVERNMENT ISSUED FORM OF

IDENTIFICATION MAKING IT

DIFFICULT TO OPEN A BANK

ACCOUNT, ACCESS CERTAIN PUBLIC

BUILDINGS, APPLY FOR JOBS AMONG

OTHER THINGS.

IDs IN SOME SENSE ARE THE GATE KEEPERS OF ACCESS TO THESE

OPPORTUNITIES, WHICH WE OFTEN

TAKE FOR GRANTED.

JUST A RETURN TO THAT QUESTION ABOUT GIVEN THE CONTEXT AND THE CLIMATE AROUND IMMIGRATION IN

THIS COUNTRY.

WE DECIDED GIVEN THE

CIRCUMSTANCES THAT THE BEST WAY

TO USE THAT \$100,000 WAS TO

COMMISSION WHAT WE'RE CALLING --

WHAT WE CALLED AT THE TIME AND

STILL CAUSING A FEASIBILITY

STUDY, WHICH IS MEANT TO BETTER

UNDERSTAND THE DEMAND FOR A CARD

PROGRAM IN BOSTON, ESPECIALLY

AMONG KEY COMMUNITIES AND

GRANTED THAT THE PROGRAM IS

STILL DESIRABLE FOR RESIDENTS TO

DESIGN A PROGRAM THAT IS SAFE

AND SECURE AS POSSIBLE FOR ITS

MOST VULNERABLE USERS AND A

PROGRAM THAT CAN BE ADAPTABLE

AND INCLUDES BENEFITS THAT

APPEAL TO OTHER GROUPS OF

RESIDENTS AND RESIDENTS BROADLY

SO IT CAN BE WIDELY USED, THIS

IS NECESSARY IN TERMS OF MAKING

THE PROGRAM SUSTAINABLE, IF

NOMINAL FEES ARE CHARGED FOR

OBTAINING A CARD AND ALSO TO

ENSURE THE CARD IS NOT A SCARLET

LETTER.

IF ONLY UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS

HAVE A MUNICIPAL I.D CARD, IT

CAN BE USED FOR A COOL OF

TARGETING UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS.

THERE'S A DELICATE BALANCE THERE.

SO WE WROTE A PROPOSAL THAT REFLECTED THE NEEDS OF THE CITY

WITH RESPECT TO A QUALITATIVE

DEMAND ANALYSIS AND KIND OF

ROLELY ROBUST PROGRAM DESIGN.

ON JANUARY 23, 2017, WE RELEASED

OR ISSUED THE RFP FOR THE

MUNICIPAL I.D FEASIBILITY STUDY.

WE RECEIVED DOZENS OF PROPOSALS

AND CHOSE A D.C. BASED FIRM THAT

WAS INVOLVED IN THE DESIGNING

AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE D.C. 1

CARD.

WE CHOSE THEM AS CONSULTANTS FOR

THE PROJECT.

I SERVED AS THE PROJECT MANAGER

FOR THE WORK WITH THE TRIAGE

GROUP.

SO THE WORK, THE FEASIBILITY

STUDY THAT THEY COMPLETED, I'M

GOING TO KIND OF GIVE YOU

SOME -- I WON'T BE ABLE TO GET

INTO EVERY LAST DETAIL OF THE

REPORT NOW.

AND THE REPORTS HAVE BEEN

PROVIDED AT THE COUNSEL, I

BELIEVE.

SO YOU HAVE THE FULL -- IT'S

ABOUT 200 ODD PAGES OF TEXTS TO

READ THROUGH.

I'M GOING TO TOUCH ON SOME OF

THE MOST IMPORTANT FINDINGS IN

EACH OF THE KEY AREAS OF THE

REPORT.

SECTIONS OF THE REPORT, WHICH

WERE THE INDELIVERABLES FOR THIS

CONTRACT.

SO AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, THE

FIRST AND KIND OF THE BASE OF

THIS FEASIBILITY STUDY IS WHAT

THE WE CALLED OR WE'RE CALLING

IT THE DEMAND ANALYSIS.

WHICH PROVIDES A QUALITATIVE AND

QUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE

DEMAND FOR THE MUNICIPAL I.D. IN

BOSTON, SOMETHING THAT WE WERE

GIVEN.

IT SEEKS TO UNDERSTAND AND

PRESENT SOME CRITICAL FINDINGS ABOUT THE DESIRED FEATURES OF THE CARD.

WHAT FEATURES OF THE CARD ARE MOST VALUABLE TO THE CITY OF

BOSTON RESIDENTS AND ALSO WHAT

SOME OF THE POTENTIAL BARRIERS TO ADOPTION ARE.

SO THE TRIAGE GROUP CONTRACTED

WITH THE UMASS CENTER FOR SURVEY

RESEARCH TO COMPLETE THIS

DELIVERABLE, WHICH INCLUDED

FOCUS GROUPS OF RESIDENTS AND AN

ONLINE CITYWIDE SURVEY.

ALTHOUGH IT WAS LIMITED IN

SCOPE, THE DEMAND ANALYSIS SHOWS

A SUSTAINED INTEREST AMONGST --

IN THE COMMUNITY.

ALSO AMONG IMMIGRANT RESIDENTS

AND AMONG LEADERS OF IMMIGRANT

SERVING ORGANIZATIONS.

THE ANALYSIS IDENTIFIED KEY

FEATURES THAT RESIDENTS FELT

WERE VALUABLE TO THE PROGRAM

ALONG WITH FEATURES THAT THEY

FELT WEREN'T.

INTEGRATED CHARLIE CARD USE,

WHICH CAME UP AS A VERY VALUABLE

PART OF THE PROGRAM.

DISCOUNTS AT LOCAL BUSINESSES

WERE ALSO FOCAL POINTS FOR

PEOPLE THAT RESPONDED TO THE

SURVEY AND POLLED IN FOCUS

GROUPS.

THE ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS

PRODUCED A COUPLE OF KEY

FINDINGS.

A CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE USING

THE UMASS MODEL PROJECTS THAT

32% OF ADULT BOSTON RESIDENTS

WOULD USE A MY CARD.

MORE LIBERAL ESTIMATES PLACES

THAT NUMBER AT 300,000 BOSTON

RESIDENTS.

THE PRIMARY CONCERNS OF

RESIDENTS CONTINUE TO BE THE

VULNERABILITY OF CARD HOLDER

DATA TO SPECIFICALLY IMMIGRATION

AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT AND

OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES AND

BROADLY A CONCERN ABOUT THE

SECURITY OF DATA, PERSONAL DATA

GENERALLY.

ADDITIONALLY THE MAJORITY OF

RESIDENTS THAT RESPONDED TO THE

SURVEY REPORTED THAT THEY WOULD

PAY \$10 FOR A CARD.
SO THOSE ARE SOME OF THE HIGHER
LEVEL RESULTS OF THE DEMAND
ANALYSIS, WHICH IS CONTAINED IN
THE ACTUAL REPORT WHICH YOU HAVE
ACCESS TO.

THE NEXT PART OF THE REPORT, WHICH WE ARE CALLING THE PROGRAM DESIGN, REALLY BUILDS ON THE DEMAND ANALYSIS TO IDENTIFY THE BASELINE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR A MUNICIPAL I.D.

THERE'S ELEMENTS OF THE PROGRAM AND OF ANY MUNICIPAL I.D PROGRAM THAT ARE ESSENTIAL TO ITS FUNCTIONING.

WITHOUT A GOVERNMENT STRUCTURE, WITHOUT ISSUANCE POLICIES AND WITHOUT POLICIES GOVERN WHAT CARD HOLDER APPEARS ON THE CARD AND WHAT CARD HOLDER IS STORED BY THE CITY, YOU WOULDN'T HAVE A SOLID FOUNDATION TO BUILD THE PROGRAM UNLESS YOU HAVE THE ELEMENTS IN PLACE.

THESE ELEMENTS ARE LAID OUT IN

THESE ELEMENTS ARE LAID OUT IN THE DESIGN SECTION OF THE REPORT.

THEY'RE FLESHED OUT IN MORE DETAIL IN THE PENULTIMATE SECTION OF THE REPORT, WHICH IS CALLED THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN. WHAT I WANT TO FOCUS ON HERE IS WHAT APPEAR IN ADDITION TO THE BASELINE DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE A SET OF WHAT WE MIGHT CALL ALTERNATIVE OR OPTIONAL DESIGN ELEMENTS WHICH ARE NOT CRITICAL TO RUNNING A MUNICIPAL I.D PROGRAM BUT WOULD CHANGE THE STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM DEPENDING WHETHER THEY WERE INCLUDED OR EXCLUDED. SO THEY INCLUDE, FOR EXAMPLE, AS COUNCILLORS ZAKIM HAS POINTED OUT, GEOGRAPHIC ELIGIBILITY FOR THE CARD.

DATA CAPTURE IS ANOTHER OPTIONAL OR ALTERNATIVE DESIGN ELEMENT. WILL THERE BE A SYSTEM WITH WHICH WE MIGHT CALL A RELYING PARTY CAN CAPTURE CARD HOLDER DATA WHEN A CARD HOLDER PRESENTS THEIR CARD?

A RELYING PARTY IS ESSENTIALLY AN ORGANIZATION, COULD BE INTERNAL TO THE CITY OF BOSTON OR EXTERNAL THAT HAS AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY TO ACCEPT A CARD IN EXCHANGE FOR ACCESS TO A SERVICE OR BENEFIT FOR THE CARD HOLDER. COULD BE A LIBRARY, A COMMUNITY CENTER, THE MBTA. WHEN A CARD HOLDER PRESENTS THEIR CARD, THE RELYING PARTY CAN CONSUME THE CARD OR PROCESS THE CARD AND INFORMATION ON THE CARD IN A VARIETY OF WAYS. ONE FORM OF PROCESSING THAT SORT OF INFORMATION, WHICH WE'RE ALL FAMILIAR WITH, IS A 2D BAR CODE ON THE CARD. THE RELYING PARTY WOULD SCAN THE BAR CODE AND HAVE THEIR OWN DATABASE THAT WOULD BE AUTO POPULATED WITH THE INFORMATION EMBEDDED THE BAR CODE. WHILE THIS CREATES EASE OF USE FOR THE RELYING PARTY. THE CONSENT IS NOT IMPLICIT. IN OTHER WORDS, A RELYING PARTY COULD OBTAIN A CARD HOLDER'S INFORMATION WITHOUT THE CARD HOLDER'S FULL UNDERSTANDING OR AGREEMENT TO THE RELYING PARTY ACQUIRING THAT INFORMATION. THAT'S ONE WAY OF DATA CAPTURING. ANOTHER MET IS TO HAVE A BACK END DATA CAPTURE SYSTEM. THE DATA IS STORED IN A DATABASE AND CAPTURED AT THE TIME THAT THE CARD IS ISSUED AND STORED BY THE CITY. THE CARD HOLDER WOULD -- THE RELYING PARTY WOULD HAVE TO REQUEST ACCESS FROM THE CITY TO THAT CARD HOLDER'S INFORMATION. THE CARD HOLDER WOULD BE NOTIFIED THAT THERE WAS A REOUEST AND WOULD NEED TO CONSENT TO THE CITY SHARING THEIR INFORMATION WITH A RELYING PARTY.

THIS GIVES MORE CONTROL TO THE CARD HOLDER AND CONTROL THEIR DATA AND CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED BY

HAVING ONLINE USER ACCOUNTS, FOR EXAMPLE.

WHEN A RELYING PARTY REQUESTS

INFORMATION, A CARD HOLDER IS PINGED WITH A NOTIFICATION TO

ALLOW ACCESS OR DENY ACCESS.

THIS WOULD REQUIRE A MORE ROBUST

INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT

THOUGH.

ANOTHER OPTION IS TO HAVE NO

DATA CAPTURE AT ALL, WHICH IS

THE EASIEST OPTION BUT WOULD

ALSO PRECLUDE ANY SORT OF

EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAM.

USAGE OF THE CARD WOULDN'T

PRODUCE ANY DATA.

SO THESE ARE THE ALTERNATIVE

DESIGN ELEMENTS THAT WE WERE

FOCUSING ON IN THIS SECTION.

AGAIN, NOT ALL OF THEM

NECESSARY.

THERE ARE CARD PROGRAMS THAT DO

NOT HAVE DATA CAPTURE FUNCTIONS.

THERE'S MUNICIPAL I.D PROGRAMS

OF ALL SIZES IN THIS COUNTRY.

**BUT THAT AFFECTS WHAT THIS** 

PROGRAM LOOKS LIKE AND HOW TO

RUN IT AND ITS COST ULTIMATELY.

AFTER THIS SECTION OF THE REPORT

WAS COMPLETED. REPRESENTATIVES

FROM A RANGE OF CITY DEPARTMENTS

MET TO REVIEW THESE DESIGN

ELEMENTS AND DECIDE WHICH DESIGN

ELEMENTS TO INCLUDE OF THESE

ALTERNATIVES AND WHICH TO

EXCLUDE.

THESE PROGRAMS ARE ADAPTABLE AND

CAN CHANGE OVER TIME.

WE DETERMINED AT THE TIME OF

LAUNCH, THE PROGRAM WOULD BE

AVAILABLE TO CITY OF BOSTON

RESIDENTS WITH THE ABILITY

PERHAPS TO EXPAND TO OTHER

CITIES AND TOWNS AFTER LAUNCH.

AND THE PROGRAM WOULD HAVE A

BACK END SYSTEM TO SUPPORT USER

ACCOUNTS AND THE SHARING OF

INFORMATION WITH RELYING

PARTIES.

AND THIS FUNCTIONALITY WOULDN'T

BE ACTIVE UPON LAUNCH.

OVER TIME RELYING PARTIES, OTHER

PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROGRAM,

WOULD CREATE AGREEMENTS WITH THE

CITY ABOUT DATA SHARING. AGAIN, RELYING PARTIES BEING COMMUNITY CENTERS, CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS, MUSEUMS, LOCAL BUSINESSES.

A LOT OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROGRAM.

THOSE ARE THE DECISIONS THAT WERE MADE AS A PART OF THAT PART OF THE STUDY.

THE NEXT DELIVERABLE AND NEXT SECTION ARE THE TECHNICAL SECURITY AND PRIVACY STANDARDS, WHICH PROVIDES A DESCRIPTION OF THE ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES, INCLUDING DIGITAL PLATFORMS AND PROGRAMS FOR ADMINISTRATION THAT ARE REALLY NECESSARY TO RUN A PROGRAM, MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAMS THAT INCLUDES THE DESIGN OPTIONS

I'M NOT AN I.T. EXPERT, SO I'M NOT GOING TO GO INTO GREAT DETAIL ABOUT WHAT THE ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES ARE.

THAT WE HIGHLIGHTED IN THE

DESIGN SECTION.

BUT THE REPORT ITSELF DOES INCLUDE A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF WHAT -- AT A VERY BASIC LEVEL YOU'D NEED IN TERMS OF INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT A PROGRAM LIKE THIS.

THAT SECTION OF THE REPORT CAN
BE USED IN THE FUTURE AS THE
TEMPLATE OR THE POINT OF
DEPARTURE FOR AN RFP FOR A
PARTICULAR TECHNOLOGY OR AN RFQ
FOR A TEAM OF CONSULTANTS TO
BUILT THE INFRASTRUCTURE FOR A
PROGRAM.

SO THE NEXT AND PERHAPS THE MOST IMPORTANT DELIVERABLE AND THE ONE I'M GOING TO GO INTO MORE IN DEPTH IS THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.

WHICH PROVIDES DETAILED STEPS AND OUTLINES THE PROCESS FOR THE PROGRAM'S OPERATION AND SETS THE STAGE FOR SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION. IT SETS THE STATION FOR A

IT SETS THE STATION FOR A
IMPLEMENTATION OF A PROGRAM THAT
IS DESIGNED IN A PARTICULAR WAY.
OBVIOUSLY THAT DESIGN COULD BE

SUBJECT TO CHANGE. YOU KNOW, THIS IMPLEMENTATION GIVES A GOOD FOR THIS PROGRAM. IT INCLUDES AN OVERVIEW OF THE OPERATION STRUCTURE. A PRELIMINARY BUDGET FOR THE FIRST FIVE YEARS AND IT EXPANDS ON THE BASELINE DESIGN ELEMENTS AND ALTERNATIVE DESIGN ELEMENTS IN THE PROPOSED DESIGN SECTION. I WANT TO REVIEW TWO VERY IMPORTANT ELEMENTS OF THAT SECTION. THEY ARE ELEMENTS THAT I THINK EVERY COUNCILLOR THAT OFFERED OPENING REMARKS TOUCHED ON. THE FIRST IS THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR THE PROGRAM AND THE SECOND IS THE WAY WE PROPOSED TO STORE CARD HOLDER DATA. THE FIRST, IT'S A CORE COMPONENT OF THIS PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION. WAS DETERMINING THE APPROPRIATE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR THE PROGRAM. THE PROGRAM AGAIN NEEDS TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE ACCESS TO COMMUNITIES MOST IN NEED. BUT WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING THE SECURITY OF THE PROGRAM AS A WHOLE OR MAKING IT SUSCEPTIBLE TO FRAUD, THIS REALLY BOILS DOWN TO WHAT DOCUMENTATION OR CREDENTIALS YOU REQUIRE AN APPLICANT TO PRESENT DURING THE APPLICATION PROCESS TO OBTAIN A CARD. IT'S A DELICATE BALANCE. THE MORE DOCUMENTATION OR THE MORE EXCLUSIVE DOCUMENTATION THAT YOU'RE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN, THE HARDER IT'S TO OBTAIN. AT THE SAME TIME THE MORE CONFIDENT YOU CAN BE IN THE VALIDITY OF EACH CARD, THIS IS WHAT WE CALL A LEVEL OF ASSURANCE. AGAIN. IT'S DETERMINED BY THE RIGOR OF THE APPLICATION PROCESS. THE HIGHER THE BARRIER TO ENTRY, WHICH IN THIS CASE TRANSLATES TO MORE EXCLUSIVE DOCUMENTATION,

THE MORE SECURE EACH IDENTITY IS

AND AT THE SAME TIME, THE HIGHER THE THRESHOLD, THE MORE BURDEN

THERE IS.

THAT'S ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THIS CARD SETS OUT TO SOLVE.
ONE WAY TO LOWER THAT THRESHOLD IS TO CREATE A POINT CALCULUS.
APPLICANTS CAN ACCRUE POINTS USING VARIOUS FORMS OF DOCUMENTATION TOWARDS A TOTAL SCORE THAT MAKES THEM ELIGIBLE FOR THE CARD.
THIS IS HOW THE APPLICATION PROCESS FOR MUNICIPAL I.Ds IN

PROCESS FOR MUNICIPAL I.Ds IN
MANY OTHER CITIES FUNCTIONS.
NEW YORK CITY HAS A VERY
ELABORATE SET OF DOCUMENTATION
THAT AN INDIVIDUAL CAN PREVENT A
CARD WITH.

DIFFERENT DOCUMENTS HAVE A
DIFFERENT POINT VALUE.
IF YOU ACCRUE ENOUGH POINTS,
YOU'RE ELIGIBLE FOR THE PROGRAM.
SOMETHING THAT IS A VERY STRONG
OF ASSERTION, WHICH IS RANKED
HIGHLY -- OUR LIST HAS THINGS
LIKE PASSPORTS OR A LETTER FROM
A SHELTER IF YOU EXPERIENCE
HOMELESSNESS AS A WAY OF
ACCRUING POINTS.

IT'S A WAY OF MAIN THANING THE SECURITY OF THE PROGRAM BUT EXPANDING ACCESS AT THE SAME TIME.

SO DOCUMENTS FOR THOSE OF YOU

UNFAMILIAR FALL INTO TWO
CATEGORIES FOR THE PURPOSES OF
OBTAINING REALLY ANY FORM OF
IDENTIFICATION.
THOSE ARE PROOFS OF RESIDENCY
AND PROOFS OF IDENTITY.
THE REPORT THAT WE PROVIDED YOU
INCLUDES OUR PROPOSED LIST OF
DOCUMENTS THAT PROVE IDENTITY
AND RESIDENCY THAT WE WOULD

ACCEPT, EACH WITH ITS OWN POINT VALUE WHICH AN APPLICATION COULD ACCRUE TOWARDS A TOTAL SCORE THAT WOULD MAKE THEM ELIGIBLE FOR A CARD, THIS IS REFLECTIVE OF A MID LEVEL OF ASSURANCE. NOT AS DIFFICULT IT WOULD BE TO

**OBTAIN A DRIVER'S LICENSE** CERTAINLY BUT NOT -- BUT AUDITABLE IN A SENSE. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE HAVE TO THINK CAREFULLY ABOUT IS THE -- A PROGRAM LIKE THIS. THERE NEEDS TO BE THE ABILITY FOR THE CITY TO VERIFY THE VALIDITY OF A CARD, WHICH REQUIRES SOME BARRIER TO ENTRY, SOME ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND WHAT I'M ABOUT TO SPEAK ABOUT, A WAY OF STORING THE DATA SO THE APPLICATION PROCESS CAN BE AUDITED IN SOME WAY. SO THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN PROPOSED SEVERAL DESIGN SOLUTIONS FOR MINIMIZING THE VULNERABILITY OF CARD HOLDER DATA. WE DO PROPOSE TO RETAIN CARD HOLDER DATA. WE PROPOSE THE CITY ONLY STORE MINIMAL DATA ON EACH CARD HOLDER, WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THE ON-CARD INFORMATION. ALL THE INFORMATION THAT APPEARS ON THE PHYSICAL CARD, AS WELL AS THE TYPE OF DOCUMENTATION USED TO APPLY FOR THE CARD. THERE ARE OTHER CITIES. NEW YORK, FOR EXAMPLE, WHO RETAIN SCANNED COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT APPLICANTS USE TO OBTAIN THE CARD. SO IF YOU, FOR EXAMPLE, APPLIED WITH YOUR PASSPORT, THE IDNYC PROGRAM OR A PROGRAM OFFICER WILL SCAN THE PASSPORT AND YOUR DATA WILL INCLUDE THAT SCANNED COPY OF THE PASSPORT, WHICH WE CAN BE TO BE HIGH RISK. IT'S VERY ROBUST, EASY TO DO BACK AND CHECK TO MAKE SURE IF THERE WERE CONCERNS ABOUT FRAUD OR THE VALIDITY OF CARDS TO VERIFY THAT YES, INDEED THE PERSON THAT -- THE IDENTITY THAT THIS CARD ASSERTS IS THE IDENTITY OF THE PERSON THAT APPLIES. WE ALSO PROPOSE TO RETAIN THE PHOTO, WHICH AGAIN IS PART OF

THE ON-CARD INFORMATION AND THE

SIGNATURE THAT THE APPLICANT PROVIDES DURING THE APPLICATION PROCESS.

SO THESE ONE SOLUTION TO DATA CAPTURE, WHICH WE FEEL CREATES A PROGRAM THAT BALANCES THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF CARD HOLDERS AND THAT DATA AND WITH THE CITY TO MAINTAIN A PROGRAM THAT IS NOT VULNERABLE TO FRAUD.

THE SECOND PROPOSAL IS TO CONTRACT WITH A THIRD PARTY

CONTRACT WITH A THIRD PARTY VENDOR TO MANAGE THE CARD HOLDER DATA.

WE FEEL FROM THE ASSESSMENT OF THIS FEASIBILITY STUDY THAT THERE ARE ORGANIZATIONS AND FIRMS THAT ARE BETTER EQUIPPED TO RUN AN I.D. INTEGRATED DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM THAN THE CITY IS.

SO THESE ARE THE TWO CORE DATA RETENTION, DATA CAPTURE PROPOSALS THAT THIS FEASIBILITY STUDY CONTAINS.

AND THE FINAL ELEMENT OF THE STUDY IS AN EVALUATION PLAN. IT PROVIDE A STUDY OF THE

PROGRAM INCLUDING RECURRING AND BENCHMARK PROGRAMS.

ALL THE WAY OUT TO FIVE YEARS WE HAVE A METHOD FOR ASSESSING THE HEALTH OF THE PROGRAM.

BOTH IN TERMS OF THE FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND WHAT RESIDENTS THINK ABOUT IT.

TAKEN TOGETHER, WHAT WE'RE CALLING THE MUNICIPAL FEASIBILITY I.D. STUDY IS A DETAILED GUIDING TO BOSTON AND CAN SERVE AS AN RFT OR AN RFQ FOR A CONSULTING FIRM TO BUILD THE DATA.

FINALLY, JUST TO GIVE EVERYONE A SENSE OF THE TIMELINE OF THIS STUDY AGAIN, THE STUDY BEGAN IN THE KIND OF EARLY SPRING OR SUMMER OF 2017.

THE FEASIBILITY STUDY ITSELF WAS COMPLETED IN LATE MAY OF THIS YEAR.

THE PROJECT TOOK LONGER THAN EXPECTED AND EVEN AFTER THE FEASIBILITY STUDY WAS COMPLETE

REQUIRED A GREAT DEAL OF WORK WITH THE CONSULTANTS BECAUSE AS MANY OF YOU ARE AWARE, HHS WENT THROUGH A SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN LEADERSHIP IN 2017.

WE'VE BEEN WORKING SINCE THE COMPLETION OF THE FEASIBILITY STUDY WITH THE TRYIAGE GROUP TO ENSURE THAT WHAT WAS PROVIDED IN THE REPORT MEETS THE EXECUTIVE NEEDS AND EXPECTATIONS OF THE

NEW LEADERSHIP OF HHS.

WORE STILL WORKING THROUGH THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE REPORT FOR

IMPLEMENTATION AND WE'RE ESPECIALLY SENSITIVE TO THE

ONGOING STRUGGLE CURRENTLY

BECAUSE OF THE CLIMATE

SURROUNDING IMMIGRATION IN THIS COUNTRY.

THIS SUMMER ESPECIALLY HAS BEEN -- WE'VE SEEN A LOT OF THE THEORETICAL THREATS THAT WE WERE ALL WORRIED ABOUT CERTAINLY IN EARLY 2017.

WE'VE SEEN MANY OF THEM BECOME REALITIES NOW.

AGAIN, THIS HAS REALLY SERIOUS IMPLICATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTING A MUNICIPAL I.D PROGRAM IN BOSTON AND ELSEWHERE.

THE COUNCIL, I BELIEVE.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS PROVIDED TO THE COUNSEL A COPY OF THE REPORT.

IT WILL BECOME PUBLIC SHORTLY. AGAIN, WE'RE WORKING WITH CHIEF MARTINEZ TO ENSURE THAT THIS REPORT DESPITE ITS BEING INITIATED UNDER DIFFERENT LEADERSHIP REFLECTS THE NEEDS AND VALUES OF THIS CURRENT HHS LEADERSHIP TEAM.

SO THAT'S NOT SO MUCH BRIEF, BUT AN OVERVIEW OF THE FEASIBILITY STUDY.

I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY **OUESTIONS THAT ANY COUNCILLORS** HAVE ABOUT THAT. AND IF I'M UNABLE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, I'M HAPPY TO FOLLOW UP.

>> THANK YOU, MR. LIMA, FOR YOUR THOROUGH PRECIPITATION.

IT'S GREATLY APPRECIATED. FOR THE RECORD, WE ARE ALSO JOINED BY CITY COUNCIL PRESIDENT ANDREA CAMPBELL AND JOINED BY COUNCILLOR FLAHERTY. COUNCILLOR CAMPBELL, WOULD YOU LIKE TO GIVE AN OPENING STATEMENT? I'D ALSO LIKE TO MAKE -- READ INTO THE RECORD SOME CITY COUNCIL AYANNA PRESLEY. DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN, I REGRET DUE TO MY PREVIOUSLY SCHEDULED NEW MEMBER OF CONGRESS ORIENTATION IN WASHINGTON D.C., I WILL NOT BE ABLE TO ATTEND TODAY'S COMMITTEE ON CITY NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES HEARING REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF A ONE-CARD PROGRAM IN THE CITY OF BOSTON. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE CHAIR FOR HOSTING THIS HEARING AND THE AUTHOR OF THIS ORDER FOR **ELEVATING THIS CRITICAL** CONVERSATION. I WAS PROUD TO CHAIR A HEARING ON THIS MATTER IN OCTOBER OF 2016. AS I STATED THEN, THIS IDEA IS AN EXAMPLE OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS BEING RESPONSIVE.

AN EXAMPLE OF MUNICIPAL
GOVERNMENTS BEING RESPONSIVE.
EVERY BOSTONIAN DESERVES TO FEEL
SAFE IN THEIR COMMUNITY AND LACK
OF FORMAL I.D. SHOULD NOT BE A
BARRIER FOR ANYONE.
I LOOK FORWARD TO REVIEWING THE
RECORD, THE RECORDING AND WILL
HAVE STAFF PRESENT.

THANK YOU.

AYANNA PRESLEY, BOSTON CITY COUNCIL.

I'D LIKE TO READ ANOTHER LETTER FROM KIM JANEY.

PROM KIM JANEY.
DEAR MR. CHAIR, I REGRET I'M
UNABLE TO ATTEND THE HEARING ON
THE COMMITTEE OF CITY AND
NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES REGARDING
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A ONE CARD
MUNICIPAL IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM
IN THE CITY OF BOSTON.
CREATING A MUNICIPAL I.D.

PROGRAM THAT IS OPEN TO ALL RESIDENTS I HAVE ONE OR TWO QUESTIONS, I GUESS.

IF THIS CARD IS INTEGRATED, HOW
CAN WE IMPOSE SAFEGUARDS TO
ENSURE PERSONAL INFORMATION IS
NOT BEING SHARED ACROSS NETWORKS
AND CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE PROCESS
FOR ADDRESSING PUBLIC SAFETY AND
BANKING INSTITUTIONS?
HOW CAN WE ASSURE THE PROBLEM IS
SUCCESSFUL WITHOUT AVOIDING
ABUSE?
>>> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR FLYNN.

SO THE ANSWER YOUR FIRST
QUESTION, THE -- THOSE DESIGN
ELEMENTS THAT I MENTION IN THE
PENULTIMATE SECTION OF MY
PRESENTATION THAT HAVE TO DO
WITH WHAT INFORMATION IS STORED
AND WHERE IT'S STORED ARE REALLY
THE ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS TO
BUILDING A SECURE PROGRAM.
AGAIN, WHAT IS POTENTIALLY -THERE'S A RISK ASSOCIATED WITH
THE AMOUNT OF DATA THAT YOU
STORE ON A POTENTIAL CARD
HOLDER.
DIFFERENT CITIES CHOOSE TO DO

THAT DIFFERENTLY.
WE FELT THE AMOUNT OF
INFORMATION THAT IS RETAINED
FROM THE APPLICATION PROCESS
CREATES A -- IS MORE SECURE
BECAUSE THAT INFORMATION IS NOT

BECAUSE THAT INFORMATION IS NOT VALUABLE IN THE HANDS OF SOMEONE WHO MIGHT WISH HARM ON A CARD HOLDER.

I'M NOT A LEGAL EXPERT, SO I CAN'T SPEAK TO THE RISK OF STORED DATA, WHETHER IT'S HELD BY THE CITY OR BY A THIRD PARTY VENDOR.

I CAN'T SPEAK TO THE RISK OF
THIS BEING OBTAINED BY A FEDERAL
AGENCY, FOR EXAMPLE.
BUT I CAN SAY THAT IN THE
FEASIBILITY STUDY AND THINKING
ABOUT HOW TO DESIGN THIS
PROGRAM, ONE OF THE THINGS WE
WERE CONSIDERING IS WHAT IS THE
INFORMATION THAT WE'RE RETAINING
AND WHAT IS THE -- AND HOW CAN
WE -- HOW CAN WE DESIGN THAT
RETENTION SO IT'S NOT HIGH RISK.
I BELIEVE YOUR SECOND QUESTION
HAS TO DO WITH BANKING

INSTITUTIONS. THE ANSWER THERE IS REALLY IF A PROGRAM WERE TO BE LAUNCHED AND THESE AGREEMENTS COULD BE CREATED BEFORE LAUNCH, BUT THE CITY WOULD WORK WITH FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS, WHETHER THAT IS --I DON'T THINK THERE'S MUNICIPAL I.D PROGRAMS IN THIS COUNTRY THAT WORK WITH NATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. BUT CERTAINLY STATE AND LOCAL, REGIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. IT WOULD REQUIRE THE CITY COMING TO AN AGREEMENT WITH EACH INDIVIDUAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS, WHICH WOULD COMPRISE THE FINANCIAL **INSTITUTIONS AGREEING TO** ACCEPTED THAT CARD, THE MUNICIPAL I.D. CARD, FOR SOME PURPOSE, PERHAPS TO OPEN A BANK ACCOUNT. IT WOULD REQUIRE THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION FEELING THE CARD WAS SECURITY ENOUGH THAT THE ASSERTION OF IDENTITY OF EACH CARD WAS VALID BASED ON THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION'S REVIEW OF THE ISSUANCE POLICIES, THE REVIEW OF THE SAFETY AND SECURITY PROTOCOLS OF THE CITY. IT WOULD BE ABOUT THE AGREEMENT OF EACH FINANCIAL INSTITUTION AND THE CITY ITSELF. AGAIN, THAT'S TRUE OF ALL RELYING PARTIES. YOU KNOW, A BCYF COMMUNITY CENTER OR MUSEUM, THOSE RELYING PARTIES WOULD ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY WITH A FULL UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE PROGRAM WORKS, OF THE SECURITY OF THE PROGRAM AND ESSENTIALLY A RELYING PARTY BECOMES A RELYING PARTY WHEN THEY ACCEPT THAT THE ASSERTION OF THE IDENTITY q BASED ON THEIR ASSESSMENT OF THE CITY'S POLICIES AND SECURITYV SAFEGUARDS. >> THANK YOU, SIR. AND AT THIS TIME, I WOULDçóñr LIKE TO ASKÑI COUNCILOR IF SHEI] HAS ANY

file:///C/Users/105168/Documents/Transcripts/BCC181129A\_noTC.txt[12/11/2018 1:48:57 PM]

QUESTIONS.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THANK YOU SOCHAIRMAN. THANK YOU SO MUCH. REALLY HELPFUL. TRYING TO FOLLOW ALONG AS YOU WERE SAYING THIS. ALSO FOR THE RECORD, I KNOW YOU SAID IT'S GOING TO BE PUBLIC SHORTLY BUT I TWEETED IT. PEOPLE ARE ABLE TO READ. THIS READS TO ME AS A DIRECT ROAD MAP FOR POSSIBLY THE MOST COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM THAT THE CITY WOULD HAVE ACROSS THE COUNTRY. I THINK THIS IS AN EXCELLENT STUDY THAT CONSIDERS ALL THE PIECES OF HOW TO MAKE IT HAVE WIDE APPEAL >> ONE AND A HALF MILLION **DOLLARS ANNUALLY?** >> RIGHT AND THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT HAS PARTICULAR DESIGN **ELEMENTS. RIGHT?** YOU COULD SCALE BACK OR SCALE UP CERTAIN COMPONENTS OF IT. YOU COULD, YOU KNOW -- YOU COULD CREATE MORE ENROLLMENT CENTERS OR FEWER ENROLLMENT CENTERS. THERE'S A FAIR AMOUNT OF ADAPTABILITY AND FLEXIBILITY IN TERMS OF THE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM, BUT THAT WAS A SORT OF PRELIMINARY **BUDGET BASED ON INCORPORATING** 

>> SO BASICALLY, IF THE CITY OF BOSTON WANTED TO LAUNCH THIS AT \$8 MILLION OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS. THIS WOULD BE A PROGRAM THAT YOU FEEL THAT THE OFFICE FEELS IS SECURE ENOUGH AND HITS EVERY OTHER METRIC OF WHAT THE RESIDENTS WOULD WANT TO SEE? >> YES TO A POINT. WHAT RESIDENTS WANT MAY EVOLVE AND SO THERE'S -- THERE'S THE DESIRE AND WHAT'S VALUABLE TO RESIDENTS IS EMERGENT. IT ALSO DEPENDS AGAIN ON -- ON RESIDENTS' RISK ASSESSMENT AND WE DID THE DEMAND ANALYSIS IN 2017 AND IF WE WERE TO DO IT AGAIN, PERHAPS WE WOULD FIND DIFFERENT RESULTS NOW GIVEN THE FACT THAT JUST THIS SUMMER, WE HAD -- OR WE CURRENTLY HAVE THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY ATTEMPTING TO CHANGE THE RULES OF PUBLIC CHARGE. WHICH IS AGAIN ONE OF THOSE SORT OF THEORETICAL THREATS TO COMMUNITIES BECOMING A VERY, VERY STARK REALITY. AND SO THE -- WHAT'S VALUABLE TO RESIDENTS AND WHAT IS -- AND WHAT -- WHAT IS A RISK FOR RESIDENTS IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE. >> AND SO WHAT WE'VE -- WHAT WE'VE DONE IS REALLY PROVIDE --WHAT WE THINK IS THE IDEAL PROGRAM WITH THE ABILITY TO BUILD IT OUT TO INCORPORATE OTHER VALUABLE ELEMENTS DOWN THE ROAD, BUT AT A MOMENT IN TIME. >> GREAT AND I THOUGHT IT WAS REALLY INTERESTING THIS TABLE ON PAGE 13 ABOUT THE PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE LIKELY TO USE THE CARDS, THAT EVERY DEMOGRAPHIC SURVEYED WAS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT TO USE THE CARD, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WHO DON'T HAVE A CHARLIE CARD AND THOSE WHO ARE AGES 55 TO 64. BUT THE SENIORS 65 AND OVER ARE ONCE AGAIN MORE LIKELY TO USE IT THAN NOT. **BUT ACROSS EVERY OTHER** DEMOGRAPHIC OF AGE, OF ETHNICITY, OF EDUCATION LEVEL,

THOSE WHO WORK IN BOSTON, AND INTERESTINGLY THOSE WHO WERE BORN IN THE UNITED STATES WITH 56% LIKELY TO USE A MUNICIPAL I.D. CARD AND THOSE WHO ARE NOT BORN IN THE UNITED STATES SO EITHER IMMIGRANT RESIDENTS OR KIND OF FIRST, SECOND GENERATION, ALMOST 73% EVEN MORE LIKELY TO USE THE CARD, PERHAPS REFLECTING SOME OF THE GAPS AND BARRIERS TO FORMAL I.D. SO IT SEEMS TO ME AT THIS POINT IT'S A POLICY QUESTION ABOUT DOES THE CITY WANT TO DO THIS AND AGAIN, WHERE DOES THIS FALL IN THE LIST OF PRIORITIES OF HOW TO BE MOST EFFECTIVE SUPPORTING THE COMMUNITIES THAT WOULD BE AFFECTED OR SUPPORTED BY THIS PROGRAM AND I FEEL VERY COMFORTABLE AND AM GRATEFUL TO THE ADMINISTRATION FOR HAVING DONE THE WORK TO THIS POINT TO SAY HOW WE HAVE THE PLAN, WE HAVE THE COSTS FIGURED, IT'S JUST ABOUT WHERE IT FALLS AND IN THE CITY'S RESOURCES BOTH FROM A STAFFING PERSPECTIVE, STAFFING PERSPECTIVE AND FUNDING OF WHETHER WE WANT TO HIT THE GO BUTTON AND MOVE FORWARD. BUT THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. >> YOU'RE WELCOME. THANK YOU, COUNCILOR WU. AT THIS TIME, COUNCILOR ZAKIM DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? >> THANK YOU FOR THE PRESENTATION. I CERTAINLY AGREE WITH THE COUNCILOR'S COMMENTS, THIS SEEMS LIKE IT'S A YES OR NO DECISION FOR THE CITY OF BOSTON, BUT I DO HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. I THINK I HEARD YOU MENTION THAT THERE WERE 300,000 POTENTIAL USERS OF THE CARD IN THE CITY OF BOSTON? >> YEAH. THAT'S A MORE LIBERAL ESTIMATE. THE MORE CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE IS ABOUT 32% OF BOSTON RESIDENTS WOULD BE NOT JUST -- WOULD NOT

JUST APPLY FOR A CARD BUT APPLY AND USE A CARD. >> AND IS THAT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT -- IS THAT SAYING LIKE THE DISCOUNT CARD, YOUR LIBRARY CARD OR PRIMARY I.D.? >> SO IN THE DEMAND ANALYSIS, RESPONDENTS TO THE SURVEY WERE PRESENTED WITH ESSENTIALLY WHAT A MUNICIPAL I.D. COULD BE. AND THAT'S A REALLY GREAT QUESTION BECAUSE WHAT WE DIDN'T DO IN THE DEMAND ANALYSIS WAS JUST PRESENT SORT OF LIKE YOUR STANDARD I.D. CARD AS THE -- AS THE PRODUCT. WE PRESENTED A MUNICIPAL I.D. CARD WHICH COULD POTENTIALLY INCLUDE THIS LIST OF BENEFITS, ACCESS TO MUSEUMS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS, A POTENTIAL INTEGRATION WITH THE CHARLIE CARD, AND ACTUALLY ONE INTERESTING THING ABOUT THE SURVEY THAT WE RAN IS IT USED A VERY INTERESTING METHODOLOGY WHETHERBY SURVEY RESPONDENTS COULD ACTUALLY SUBMIT THEIR OWN IDEAS ABOUT VALUABLE FUNCTIONS FOR THE CARD AND THAT IDEA THAT THEY SUBMITTED WOULD ACTUALLY BE PRESENTED TO DOWNSTREAM SURVEY RESPONDENTS AS ONE OF THE MANY FEATURES OF THE CARD THAT COULD POTENTIALLY BE INCORPORATED AND THEN THEY WOULD BE ASKED TO RANK WHICH ONES THEY FELT WERE MOST IMPORTANT OR MOST VALUABLE. AND SO AGAIN, THAT -- THAT INTEREST, THE INTEREST THAT WE'RE TRYING TO SORT OF QUANTIFY IN THE DEMAND, UNLESS IS REALLY INTEREST IN A CARD WITH MANY OR ALL OF THESE VERY VALUABLE OR THE MOST VALUABLE ELEMENTS TO RESIDENTS INCLUDED. SO I DON'T THINK -- WHAT WE'RE ASSERTING IS NOT THAT ANY MUNICIPAL I.D. CARD THAT THE CITY OF BOSTON WERE TO ISSUE WOULD GARNER 32% ADOPTION RATE. RATHER, A MUNICIPAL I.D. WITH CERTAIN IMPORTANT BENEFITS ATTACHED TO IT WOULD GARNER THAT

## SUPPORT.

>> AND WHAT ABOUT USING IT AS AN I.D.?

PEOPLE GOING TO GET A DRINK OR AT A BANK, WHAT ARE THE RULES?

CLEARLY, IT'S A

GOVERNMENT-ISSUED I.D., BUT I

DON'T WANT TO GET INTO THE REAL

I.D. ISSUES ABOUT GETTING ON A

PLANE BECAUSE THAT'S NOT

FEASIBLE FOR THIS, BUT IF YOU'RE

GOING TO A BAR OR A MARIJUANA

DISPENSARY OR ANY OF THESE

PLACES, WOULD THIS OR EVEN A

BANK OR CHECK CASHED, WOULD

THESE PLACES ACCEPT THIS?

DO OTHER CITIES -- WHAT

HAPPENED?

>> MUNICIPAL I.D. CARDS ACROSS

THE COUNTRY CANNOT BE USED TO,

FOR EXAMPLE, BUY ALCOHOL OR

TOBACCO, TO GET ON A PLANE, FOR

EXAMPLE.

THERE ARE -- THERE ARE

LIMITATIONS THAT ALL CITIES --

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT ALL

CITIES PLACE ON THESE CARDS.

AND PRIMARILY BECAUSE THERE ARE

SAFETY CONCERNS, BUT ALSO

BECAUSE THE PURPOSE OF THESE

CARDS IS REALLY TO INCREASE

ACCESS TO LOCAL SERVICES AND

OPPORTUNITIES.

AND SO -- AND THAT ACCESS IS

ALWAYS MEDIATED BY AN AGREEMENT

WITH THE ENTITY THAT IS

SUPPLYING THAT BENEFIT.

AND SO FOR AN INDIVIDUAL TO USE

THEIR CARD IN A GIVEN LOCATION

REQUIRES THAT THAT BUSINESS

ORGANIZATION, AGENCY, HAVE AN

AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY.

AND SO WHAT THE CARD CAN OR

CANNOT BE USED FOR IS GOVERNED

BY THOSE AGREEMENTS.

IF A CARD IN ANOTHER CITY, FOR

EXAMPLE, WERE -- IF SOMEONE AT A

LIOUOR STORE. FOR EXAMPLE, WERE

TO ACCEPT THE CARD, THAT WOULD

BE A VIOLATION OF THEIR OWN --

OF THEIR OWN REGULATIONS BECAUSE

A MUNICIPAL I.D., IN THE

**ENABLING LEGISLATION AND** 

POLICIES THAT GOVERN THESE

PROGRAMS, SET FORTH LIMITATIONS ON WHAT IT CAN BE USED FOR AND SO AGAIN THAT REALLY HAS TO DO MORE WITH POLICY. >> I.D. IS A BIT OF A MISNOMER? WELL, FOR EXAMPLE, IF A RELYING PARTY, SOMEONE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY TO ACCEPT THE CARD WERE THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, A MUNICIPAL I.D. COULD BE USED AND SERVE AS A FORM OF IDENTIFICATION WHEN, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU -- IF THERE'S BEEN A BREAK-IN AND YOU CALL THE POLICE ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS AN OFFICER WILL ASK FOR IS FOR A FORM OF IDENTIFICATION. AND SO IF THE BOSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE CITY OF BOSTON WERE TO COME TO AN AGREEMENT ABOUT THE SECURITY OF THE CARD AND THAT BOSTON POLICE OFFICERS WOULD ACCEPT THE CARD, AGAIN CONDITIONAL ON THAT AGREEMENT, THEN THAT MUNICIPAL I.D. COULD SERVE AS AN I.D. THAT AN INDIVIDUAL COULD PRESENT TO A POLICE OFFICER IN THE EVENT THAT THEY WERE REPORTING A CRIME OR IN SOME OTHER SITUATION. >> OKAY. SO IT DOES HAVE -- IT DOES HAVE I.D. FUNCTIONS. >> BUT I GUESS I MEAN -- AND I APPRECIATE. AND I THINK IT'S GREAT THAT WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, A CHARLIE CARD ON THERE, ALL THE THINGS THAT MAKE IT MORE APPEALING TO FOLKS WHO HAVE OTHER OPTIONS FOR I.D. BUT TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE REAL CONCERNS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. WHETHER IT'S AN UNDOCUMENTED POPULATION, HOMELESS POPULATION, FOLKS FOR WHATEVER REASON AREN'T ABLE TO GET A STATE ISSUED OR FEDERAL ISSUED I.D. THAT DOES SEEM TO BE ONE OF THE CENTRAL THINGS WE WANT TO TACKLE HERE AND IS THAT -- AND I'LL STOP BECAUSE THERE ARE OTHER QUESTIONS. IS THAT A POLICY DECISION THE CITY HAS TO MAKE OR IS THAT A

STATE LAW MANDATE? DO WE HAVE THE FLEXIBILITY ON THAT MOVING FORWARD? >> SO IT'S PARTIALLY A POLICY DECISION AND THAT HAS TO DO WITH WHAT THE CITY FEELS COMFORTABLE -- HOW THE CITY FEELS COMFORTABLE WITH RESIDENTS USING THIS CARD. IT ALSO HAS TO DO WITH THE STRENGTH OF OUR PARTNERSHIPS. AND THIS IS ACTUALLY PART OF THE REPORT. THERE'S A PHASE OF IMPLEMENTATION, WHICH IS ALMOST PRE-IMPLEMENTATION, WHICH HAS TO DO WITH GATHERING POTENTIAL AGENCIES AND RELYING PARTIES

THAT COULD ACCEPT THE CARD TO BUILD A ROBUST NETWORK OF -- OF CARD CONSUMERS ESSENTIALLY, BECAUSE THAT'S HOW YOU REALLY OPEN ACCESS FOR VULNERABLE RESIDENTS TO THESE ESSENTIAL SERVICES, THE FOCAL POINT OF MUNICIPAL I.D. CARDS SINCE THEIR INCEPTION BUT AT THE SAME TIME, IT CREATES AN ARRAY OF BENEFITS SO THAT -- SO THAT RESIDENTS WHO ALREADY HAVE DRIVER'S LICENSES, FOR EXAMPLE, WOULD BE INTERESTED IN GETTING A CARD, WHICH HELPS YOU AVOID WHAT WE'RE CALLING THIS KIND OF SCARLET LETTER EFFECT. >> AND I AGREE, AND I THINK

THAT'S A GREAT IDEA AND I CERTAINLY THINK WOULD BE HAPPY TO SEE THIS IN THE FORM YOU PROPOSED, BUT I WOULD ALSO URGE YOU AND THE ADMINISTRATION, PERHAPS THE CORPORATION COUNCIL TO LOOK AND SEE WHAT IS POSSIBLE BEYOND THAT BECAUSE I THINK WHILE IT'S GREAT FOR CIVIC PRIDE, FOR PEOPLE HAVING SOME FORM OF I.D. FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES I THINK THE BIG ISSUE HERE IS MAKING SURE THESE POPULATIONS THAT DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY FOR WHATEVER REASON TO GET A STATE I.D. OR A FEDERAL I.D. WHO ARE LIVING HERE IN THE CITY OF BOSTON, WE NEED TO DO

THE MOST WE CAN CONSISTENT WITH THE LAW, CONSISTENT WITH OUR OWN SECURITY NEEDS, TO DO THAT. SO AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW OFFHAND WHAT'S PERMISSIBLE. I DON'T SEE THAT THE CITY OF BOSTON, YOU KNOW, WOULD HAVE ANY LIABILITY IF SOMEONE USED THIS TO PURCHASE ALCOHOL OR TO PURCHASE SOMETHING ELSE SO I WOULD LIKE TO EXPLORE THAT, SOMEWHAT EXPANSIVE AND I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT BECAUSE I HAVE ANOTHER ENGAGEMENT, BUT I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS. I THANK THE SPONSOR AND THE CHAIR FOR HOLDING THIS HEARING. IT'S IMPORTANT TO SEE SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS ON THIS SO THANK YOU. >> YOU'RE WELCOME. [OFF MIC] WHEN WE HAD FIRST STARTED DISCUSSING THIS IN 2015-16, IT WAS ALSO CONTEMPLATED THAT THERE WOULD NEED TO BE A COMPLEMENTARY MUNICIPAL I.D. ORDINANCE THAT WOULD SPECIFY THE SCOPE OF WHICH AGENCIES TO LOOP IN AND THE SORT OF MAXIMUM THAT THE CITY COULD PUSH THROUGH SO I THINK THAT WOULD VERY MUCH BE PART OF IT. >> AND OTHER MUNICIPALITIES, NEW YORK, FOR EXAMPLE, HAVE CITY ORDINANCES THAT ARE BASICALLY THE ENABLING LEGISLATION FOR THESE PROGRAMS, WHICH INCLUDE THOSE SECURITY POLICIES WHERE THE CARD CAN BE USED AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. >> THANK YOU. , COUNCILOR. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK CITY COUNCIL PRESIDENT ANDREA CAMPBELL IF SHE HAS ANY QUESTIONS. >> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR FLYNN AND THANK YOU TO COUNCILOR WU

I'M JUST CURIOUS.

PRESENTATION.

REPORT.

YOU FOR THIS ROBUST

THE SPONSOR ON THIS AND THANK

LOOK FORWARD TO REVIEWING THE

THE REASON WE WERE HOLDING UP

RELEASING IT PUBLICLY?

>> WELL, AGAIN SO BECAUSE OF THE

CHANGE IN LEADERSHIP IN HHS, THE

SCOPE OF THE REPORT, ITS

CONTENT, THE CONTRACT ITSELF WAS

INITIATED WITH THE CONSULTING

TEAM THAT WE WERE WORKING WITH

IN 2017 AND SO WE WANTED TO MAKE

SURE THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE

REPORT REFLECTED CHIEF MARTY

MARTINEZ'S EXPECTATIONS OF IT

AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING TO TWEAK

ELEMENTS OF THE REPO@AAy

AND INCLUDE IN SOME CASESU1A5

NON-CITIZEN, YOU DON'T HAVE THE

ABILITY TO VOTE AND IF YOU ARE

ACCUSED OF VOTING THAT

POTENTIALLY IMPACTSe1fá YOUR ABILITY

TO SECUREKO RESIDENCY.

I JUST WANT TO BE SENSITIVE TO

THAT, BUT FROM A PERSPECTIVE OF

TRYING TO HELP MOVE THE LINES,

PARTICULARLY THAT LAST ELECTION,

THE LINES WERE SO LONG AND YOU

MIGHT HAVE SOMEBODY FROM THEL; r1SAME PRECINCTXD AND NEIGHBORHOOD,

BUT THEY JUST MOVED AROUND THE

CORNER. THAT WAS VERY TIME

CONSUMING FOR FOLG AND THAT

CREATED BACKUP AND LONG/rf LINES

AND WE WANT TO TRY TO H')Q

THINGS EASIER AND MOREok EFFICIENT

FOR FOLKS TO BE ABLE TOok CAST

THEIRçót( VOTES.

I DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT

ANYBODY ON THE SURVEY HADQ

RESPONDED.

THAT WOULD BE A GREAT WAY TO BE

ABLE TO HAVE THAT I.D. THAT

IMMEDIATELY SIGNALS THATt# YOU'RE

ACTUALLY LIVING AT THAT ADDRO

WHAT SOME OTHER MAJOR CITIES

HAVEé@lq

THEIR CARDr

THAT SURVEY, DOES IT DOVE TAIL

WITH WHAT imu YORK AND SAN

FRANCISCO3W ARE DOING WITH THEIR

MUNICIPALi] I.D.'S?

>> I WOULD SAY THEfá REALçójF DRIVER

OF THE CARDSñr SEEMS TO BE THE

CULTURAL BENEFITS THAT THEY

ACCRUE SO THOSE SORTS OF5al

DISCOUNTS AND ACCESS.

THERE'S ACTUALLY AN

EVALUATION -- THEY DID FOCUSXD

**GROUPS ANDr** 

SURVEY AS WELL TRYING TO

UNDERSTAND FOR

APPLY FOR AND ARE USING THE CARD

WHY ARE THEY DOING IT?

INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, ONE OF THE

**BIG DRIVERS OF ADOPTION WAS** 

SiSG&V RESIDENTS WANTING TO SHOWlpñr

THEIR SUPPORT FOR AxT

PROGRAM.t(

BUT AGAIN, THE REAL -- THE REAL

MEAT OF THE -- WHAT WAS DRIVING

ADOPTION HAD AV

TO A CERTAINY

UNDERSTANDING THAT APPLYING FOR

AND USINGq

VULNERABLE COMMUNITIES THAT

DON'Te1 TRADITIONALLY HAVE VERY

GOOnal ACCESS TOr

FORMS OF IDENTIFICATION, BUT

ALSO BECAUSE IT PROVIDED THIS

LIST OF BENEFITS.

I MEAN, I CAN'T REMEMBERñr EXACTLYq

WHAT THEY WERE, BUT Io

**THEr** 

REDUCED PRICE MEMBERSHIPS TO THE

MUSEUMS, TO MOMA, IT WAS LIKE A

NETWORK OFw3 BENEFITS THATok WERE

ATTACHED TO IT AND MY

**UNDERS2!CDING IT WAS THE** 

CULTURAL BENEFITS THAT WERE

DRIVING.

N>> I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME AND

ATTENTION TO THAT ANDQ

YOUR SURVEYS IF THERE'S ANY

FOLLOW-UP SURVEYS IF YOU COULD

DIAL IN AROUND WHAT PEOPLE'S

THOUGHTS ARE IN TERMS OF THE

ELECTIONSxDñr AND PARTICULARLY AS IT

PERTAINS TO CHANGE OF ADDRESS OR

CHANGE OF PARTY AFFILIATION AND

THAT SEEMS TO CAUSE A LITTLE BIT

OF A CONUNDRUM AS YOU'RE

APPROACH?ñr THE --w3t( THE BALLOT

BOX AND JUST CAUSES MINUTES,

STEP ASIDE AND THEY HAVE TO CALL

DOWN TO THE ELECTION DEPARTMENT

AND THEY HAVE TO CONFIRM YOUR

ADDRESS AND IF EVERYTHING WAS ON

TH(AxD CARD AND THE WARDEN AT THE

PRECINCT WOULD BE ABLE TO SWIPE

IT AND CONFIRMÑI THAT YES, ED

FLYNN LIVES DOWN ONXD GOLD STREET

OR MY FATHERQ

ROAD.

AND THEN HERE'S YOUR BALLOT, YOU

CAN VOTE.

I KNOW THAT¡Fçó HAPPENED WITH THEÑi

LONG LINES AT THE ELECTIONS AND

THE LAST COUPLE OF ELECTIONS

IT'S BEEN AN ISSUE THAT'S

BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION.

YOU HAVE LIFE-LONG RESIDENTS,

BUT THEY'VE MOVED ACROSS THE

STREET OR THEY'VE CHANGED PARTY

AFFILIATIONZV VSx AND THAT'S CAUSED A

BACKUP AT THAT LOCATION, BUTIP

HAVINGfá THIS CARD THAT CAN BE5a

SWIPED, IT CANÇÓ CONFIRM THATÇÓ THE

PARTY AFFILIATION AND/OR THEIR

ADDRESS, I THINK WOULD HELP

ALLEVIATE SOME OFçójF THOSE ISSUES

NOT ONLY AT THEñrt(ok POLLING

LOCATION, BUT AT THE ELECTION

DEPARTMENT.

**JUST TRYING TO6** 

READILY ACCESSIBLk( AND EASY AND

MORE EFFICIENT FOR FOLKS TO COME

OUTÑI AND PARTICIPATE SO YOU

APPRECIATE YOUR TIME AND

ATTENTION TO THAT.

THANK YOU, f5wxok MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILOR.

AT THIS TIME, I WOULD LIKE TO

ASK IF ANYONE IN THEt( AUDIENCE

PLANS TO TESTIFY?

OR OFFER COMMENTS?fár

OKAY.!U

SO I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO DO

ANOTHER ROUND OF5al QUESTIONING.

**POINT**c

PRIOR TO THIS JOB. I WORKED AS A

PROBATION OFFICER FOR 10 YEARS

AT SUFFOLK SUPERIOR

ANDt( I SUPERVISED THE HOMELESS

COMMUNITY AND A LOT OF THE

HOMELESS COMMUNITY THAT WOULD

COME TOq

IN FOR PROBATION DIDN'T HAVE ANY

I.D. AT ALL.

ALTHOUGH WHEN THEY nr DID GO TO THE

HOMELESS SHELTER, THE HOMELESSIP

SHELTER USUALLY,q

I.D. TO THAT PERSON, BUTñrxD HAVING

SOME TYPE OF:

HELPFUL AND THAT WOULDQ

PERSON THE OPPORTUNITY TO ALMOST

GET BACKi] ONw3 THEIR FEET AND GET

INVOLVEDt(IN DIFFERENT SERVICES

AND PROGRAMS, BUT THEY DID NEED

AN I.D. AND A LOT OF THE

HOMELESS SHELTERS PROVIDED THAT

WHICH I THINK WAS VERY HELPFUL

AND I KNOW IN YOUR COMMENTS YOU

HIGHLIGHTED THE HOMELESS

COMMUNITY.

WHAT ARE SOME OF THE FEEDBACK

THAT YOU'VE RECEIVED FROM THE

VARIOUS HOMELESS SHELTERS IN

BOSTON ON THISt(ñr PROGRAM?

>> TO BE HONEST, I WOULD HAVE TOil

RETURN TO SOME OF THE NOTES FROM

OUR ORIGINAL STAKEHOLDERfág

MEETINGS TOfá S:eSi REALLY

ACCURATELY ABOUT SPECIFICALLY

THE RESPONSE FROMV

SHELTER PROVIDERS, FOR EXAMPLE,

BUT I THINK FOR THE MOST PART

PEOPLE EXPERIENCING

HOMELESSNESS, BUT THE PROBLEM OFS7

LACKINGÑI FORMAL IDENTIFICATION IS

A PROBLEM THAT HAS EXISTED FOR A

REALLY LONG TIME AND THERE HAVEKo|5a|

BEEN -- THERE HAVE BEEN FOR

**DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES -- THERE** 

HAVE BEEN ATTEMPTSq

SOLVE IT.

FOR YOUNG PEOPLE, FOR EXAMPLE,

AND POTENTIALLYÑiÑi FOR PEOPLETZ>úEXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS, BUT

IF I'M REMEMBERING CORRECTLY

FROM THOSE INITIAL MEETINGS WITH

STAKEHOLDERS, SUPPORT FROMt(

MUNICIPAL I.D. PROGRAM ASSUMING

THE PROGRAM IS SAFE AND S5C\$RE

AND DOESN'T SERVE TOÍRÑ FURTHER

STIGMATIZE POPULATIONS THAT ARE

ALREADY MARGINALIZED, BUT THERE

IS INTEREST AMONG THOSE

PROVIDERS IN PROVIDING A FORM OF

IDENTIFICATION FOR PEOPLE

EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESSfá WHO

OTHERWISE DON'T HAVE THE

OPPORTUNITY TO ACQUIRE THAT FORMt(w3

OF IDENTIFICATION AND nr ARE AS A

RESULT.YKJTJ

FROM A LOT OF CITY SERVICESóoM FROMe1w3

ACCESSING A LOT OF OPPORTUNITIES

**THATr** 

BENEFICIAL TO THEIR HEALTH AND

WELLBEING.

>> THANK YOU.

THAT'S ALL I HAVE. ANY FINAL COMMENTS FROM COUNCILOR WU OR COUNCILOR FLAHERTY? >> I JUST WANTEDÑi TO -- OUR RESEARCH DIRECTOR FROM THE COUNCIL OFFERED SOME@<Ñ SUGGESTIONS AS WELL THAT WE SHOULD ENTER INTO THE RECORD ABOUT MUNICIPAL I.Dñj6Ñ CARD COULD ALSO BE USEDi]t(i] TO ESTABLISHU-KFÑi PARTNERSHIPSok WITH MERCHANTS OR BUSINESSES IN THE CITY.A5|< |Ñi JUAN HAS SUGGESTED MOVIE THEATERS, DUNKIN' DONUTS OR OTHER BUSINESSES. IF THERE'S SOME PROGRAM TO OFFERÇÓ COUPONS OR DISCOUNTS THAT THATñr WOULD ALSO HELP NOT ONLY WITH THE ROLL-OUT AND INTEREST IN THE PROGRAM, BUT ALSO MAKING SURE THERE'S A WIDE ENOUGH UPTAKE OFr IT, SO JUST ANOTHERR ADD TO THE MANY